

Problem Set 6. Econ 301. Fall 2010

1. JR 1.65

(a). If  $e(p, u^0) \uparrow$ ,

$$e(p', u^0) > e(p, u^0)$$

$$\text{then } I(p', p^0, u^0) \equiv \frac{e(p', u^0)}{e(p, u^0)} > 1$$

(b). By definition,

$$\frac{y'}{y^0} = \frac{e(p', u')}{e(p, u^0)}$$

$$\text{If } \frac{y'}{y^0} > I(p', p^0, u^0)$$

$$\text{then } \frac{e(p', u')}{e(p, u^0)} > \frac{e(p', u^0)}{e(p, u^0)}$$

$$\text{then } e(p', u') > e(p', u^0)$$

$\therefore e(p, u)$  is increasing in  $u$

$$\therefore u' > u^0$$

1. JR 1.66

$$(a). \min_{x_1, x_2} U(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} + x_2$$

$$\text{s.t.: } 1 \cdot x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 = 10$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = \sqrt{x_1} + x_2 + \lambda(10 - x_1 - 2x_2)$$

$$\text{FOCs: } \left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_1}} - \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} &= 1 - 2\lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} &= 10 - x_1 - 2x_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$x_1 = 1, \quad x_2 = \frac{9}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow v(p^0, y^0) = \sqrt{1} + \frac{9}{2} = \frac{11}{2}$$

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} 2x_1 + x_2$$

$$\text{s.t.: } \sqrt{x_1} + x_2 = u_0 = \frac{11}{2}$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \mu) = 2x_1 + x_2 + \mu\left(\frac{11}{2} - \sqrt{x_1} - x_2\right)$$

$$\text{FOCs: } \left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} &= 2 - \mu \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_1}} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} &= 1 - \mu = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} &= \frac{11}{2} - \sqrt{x_1} - x_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$x_1' = \frac{1}{16}, \quad x_2' = \frac{21}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow e(p', u^0) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{16} + \frac{21}{4} = \frac{43}{8}$$

Therefore,

$$I(p', p^0, u^0) \equiv \frac{e(p', u^0)}{e(p, u^0)} = \frac{\frac{43}{8}}{10} = \frac{43}{80}$$

(b). In base period,

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} 1 \cdot x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2$$

$$\text{s.t.: } \sqrt{x_1} + x_2 = u_0$$

Set up Lagrangian, and solve for  $x_1, x_2$ :

$$x_1 = 1, \quad x_2 = u_0 - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow e(p^0, u^0) = 1 + 2(u_0 - 1) = 2u_0 - 1$$

In final period,

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} 2 \cdot x_1 + 1 \cdot x_2$$

$$\text{s.t.: } \sqrt{x_1} + x_2 = u_0$$

Solve this problem, we get:

$$x_1' = \frac{1}{16}, \quad x_2' = u_0 - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow e(p', u^0) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{16} + u_0 - \frac{1}{4} = u_0 - \frac{1}{8}$$

Hence,

$$I(p, p^0, u^0) \equiv \frac{e(p', u^0)}{e(p^0, u^0)}$$

$$= \frac{u_0 - \frac{1}{s}}{2u_0 - 1}$$

Apparently,  $I(p, p^0, u^0)$  varies with  $u_0$ .

(c)  $\therefore$  Preference is homothetic

$$\therefore e(p, u) = e(p) \cdot u$$

(we proved it in Question 4, Pset 4).

$$\therefore I(p, p^0, u^0) \equiv \frac{e(p', u^0)}{e(p^0, u^0)}$$

$$= \frac{e(p') \cdot u^0}{e(p^0) \cdot u^0}$$

$$= \frac{e(p')}{e(p^0)}$$

Therefore,  $I$  is independent of  $u^0$ .

2.

$\therefore$  Preference is homothetic

$$\therefore x_i(p, y) = x_i(p) \cdot y$$

(we proved it in Question 4, Pset 4)

$$\therefore \frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial y} = x_i(p)$$

By Slutsky,

$$\frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial y} \cdot x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial x_i^h(p, u)}{\partial p_j} - x_i(p) \cdot x_j$$

(a). If  $\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_j} > 0$ ,

since  $x_i(p) \cdot x_j > 0$

then  $\frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial p_j} \geq 0$

the sign of  $\left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_j}\right)$  is indefinite.

(b). If  $\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_j} < 0$

since  $x_i(p) \cdot x_j > 0$

then  $\frac{\partial x_i(p, y)}{\partial p_j} < 0$

### 3. JR 2.17

Assume  $g$  is a simple gamble

$$g = (p_1 \cdot a_1, p_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, p_n \cdot a_n)$$

By G3, there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ , such that

$$g \sim (\alpha a_1, (1-\alpha)a_n)$$

$$g \sim (\beta a_1, (1-\beta)a_n)$$

By transitivity,

$$(\alpha a_1, (1-\alpha)a_n) \succeq (\beta a_1, (1-\beta)a_n) \quad (1)$$

$$(\beta a_1, (1-\beta)a_n) \succeq (\alpha a_1, (1-\alpha)a_n) \quad (2)$$

By G4,

$$(1) \text{ holds iff } \alpha \geq \beta$$

$$(2) \text{ holds iff } \beta \geq \alpha$$

$$\} \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta$$

Therefore,  $\alpha$  is unique.

4. JK 2.18

Let  $g_1 = (p_1 a_1, \dots, p_n a_n)$

$g_2 = (q_1 a_1, \dots, q_n a_n)$

$g_3 = (r_1 a_1, \dots, r_n a_n)$

$g_1, g_2, g_3$  are simple gambles.

Construct compound gambles:

$cg_1 = (\alpha \circ g_1, (1-\alpha) \circ g_3) \quad \alpha \in [0,1]$

$cg_2 = (\alpha \circ g_2, (1-\alpha) \circ g_3)$

$\therefore g_1 \sim g_2$  by given

$g_3 \sim g_3$

By G5, we have

$cg_1 \sim cg_2$

By G6, we have

$cg_1 \sim ((\alpha p_1 + (1-\alpha)r_1) a_1, \dots, (\alpha p_n + (1-\alpha)r_n) a_n)$

$cg_2 \sim ((\alpha q_1 + (1-\alpha)r_1) a_1, \dots, (\alpha q_n + (1-\alpha)r_n) a_n)$

Hence,

$((\alpha p_1 + (1-\alpha)r_1) a_1, \dots, (\alpha p_n + (1-\alpha)r_n) a_n)$

$\sim$

$((\alpha q_1 + (1-\alpha)r_1) a_1, \dots, (\alpha q_n + (1-\alpha)r_n) a_n)$

5.

Let  $x_1 =$  consumption of energy

$x_2 =$  all the other goods

$p =$  price of energy

$1 =$  price of all the other goods.

suppose  $p$  has  $n$  possible values, i.e

$p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ .

with probability distribution

$q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n), \quad q_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$

In state  $i$ , price of energy is  $p_i$ ,

consumers solve the problem:

$\min_{x_1, x_2} p_i \cdot x_1 + 1 \cdot x_2$

s.t:  $u(x_1, x_2) = u_0$

Solve the above expenditure minimization problem, we can get  $e(p_i, u_0)$ .

So the expected expenditure

$E_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \cdot e(p_i, u_0)$  ← expenditure corresponding to randomly fluctuating price

On the other hand, expected price of energy

$P_E = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \cdot p_i$ .

Under  $P_E, u_0$ , consumers solve the problem:

$\min_{x_1, x_2} P_E \cdot x_1 + x_2$

s.t:  $u(x_1, x_2) = u_0$

Solving the above problem, we get

$E_2 = e(P_E, u_0) = e(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \cdot p_i, u_0)$

↑ expenditure corresponding to expected price.

Because  $e(p, u)$  is concave in  $p$

$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n q_i e(p_i, u_0) \leq e(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \cdot p_i, u_0)$  (3)