

# AD Sunset Reviews: Did the WTO Agreement Make Any Difference?\*

December 2006  
Work in progress

Olivier Cadot<sup>+</sup>  
Jaime de Melo\*  
Bolormaa Tumurchudur<sup>§</sup>

## Abstract

The paper explores the determinants of the duration of antidumping orders on a wide sample of cases across time and countries. On the basis of a count of revocations for a panel of AD-using countries over 1979-2005, we find that the Uruguay Agreement's introduction of mandatory sunset reviews in 1995 was associated with an increase from 18% to 31% in the proportion of AD measures revoked at five years. A survival analysis also shows that the average duration of AD measures around the world went down and that hazard functions jump up at five years for cases covered by the agreement. However, much of the adjustment to the WTO's new rules on sunset reviews seems to have come from smaller Members.

Keywords: Antidumping, sunset reviews, WTO, survival analysis  
JEL classification codes: F13

---

\* Paper prepared for the conference on antidumping and developing countries organized by the World Bank and the Institut d'Etudes Politiques de Paris (Sciences Po) in Paris, December 15-16, 2006. We are grateful to Petros Mavroidis, Jorge Miranda, and Jaspers Wauters for useful conversations (the paper actually grew out of a conversation with Petros), to Chad Bown and his team for sharing the results of their colossal data-collection effort, and to Marius Brühlhart, Neil MacDonald, Marcelo Olarreaga and Edwin Vermulst for very useful comments on an earlier draft. Without implicating him, we would like to express special thanks to Jorge Miranda for a very careful reading of the draft and comments (many of which made it verbatim into the paper) that were too numerous to be acknowledged in the text. All remaining errors are however entirely ours.

<sup>+</sup> HEC Lausanne, CERDI, DEPR and CEPREMAP

\* HEC Lausanne, CERDI and CEPR.

<sup>§</sup> HEC Lausanne.