

# COUNTING ACYCLIC DIGRAPHS BY SOURCES AND SINKS

IRA M. GESSEL\*  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY  
WALTHAM, MA 02254-9110

January 3, 1995

ABSTRACT. We count labeled acyclic digraphs according to the number sources, sinks, and edges.

## 1. Counting acyclic digraphs by sources. Let

$$A_n(t; \alpha) = \sum_D \alpha^{s(D)} t^{e(D)},$$

where the sum is over all acyclic digraphs  $D$  on the vertex set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $e(D)$  is the number of edges of  $D$ , and  $s(D)$  is the number of sources of  $D$ ; that is, the number of vertices of  $D$  of indegree 0. Let  $A_n(t) = A_n(t; 1)$ .

To find a recurrence for  $A_n(t, \alpha)$ , we take an acyclic digraph and add some new vertices as sources. In the digraph we obtain, the new vertices will be a subset of the set of sources.

### Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (1+t)^{j(n-j)} \binom{n}{j} \alpha^j A_{n-j}(t) = A_n(t; \alpha + 1). \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We count triples  $(S, D, E)$ , where  $S$  is a subset of  $[n]$ ,  $D$  is an acyclic digraph on  $[n] - S$ , and  $E$  is a subset of the set  $S \times ([n] - S)$ . We think of the elements of  $E$  as edges from  $S$  to  $D$ . To a triple  $(S, D, E)$  we assign the weight  $\alpha^j t^e$ , where  $j$  is the size of  $S$  and  $e$  is the total number of edges in  $E$  and in  $D$ . It is clear that the sum of the weights of these triples in which  $|S| = j$  is  $(1+t)^{j(n-j)} \binom{n}{j} \alpha^j A_{n-j}(t)$ , and summing on  $j$  yields the left side of (1).

To a triple  $(S, D, E)$  we may associate the pair  $(S, D')$  in which  $D'$  is the digraph on  $[n]$  whose edges are those of  $D$  together with the edges in  $E$ . Note that  $S$  is a subset of the set of sources of  $D'$ . It is easily seen that this correspondence gives a bijection from triples  $(S, D, E)$  to pairs  $(S, D')$  in which  $D'$  is an acyclic digraph on  $[n]$  and  $S$  is a subset of the set of sources of  $D'$ . This proves (1).

In working with recurrences like (1), generating functions of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{(1+t) \binom{n}{2} n!}$$

---

\*partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9306297

are useful, since the convolution

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (1+t)^{j(n-j)} \binom{n}{j} a_j b_{n-j} = c_n$$

is equivalent to the generating function equation

$$\left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right] \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!}.$$

For some further applications of these generating functions, which we call *graphic generating functions*, see [5] and [6].

We can now express a generating function for  $A_n(t; \alpha)$  in terms of the power series

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!}.$$

**Theorem 1.**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t; \alpha) \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} &= F((\alpha-1)x) / F(-x) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha-1)^n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right] \Big/ \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (1) by  $x^n / (1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!$  and summing on  $n$  yields

$$F(\alpha x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t; \alpha+1) \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!}. \quad (3)$$

Now let us set  $\alpha = -1$  in (3). Since every digraph with at least one vertex has a source,  $A_n(t; 0) = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . Thus we obtain

$$F(-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} = 1,$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} = 1/F(-x) = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{(1+t)^{\binom{n}{2}} n!} \right]^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

Replacing  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha - 1$  in (3), and using (4), yields (2).

Formula (4) was found (with  $t = 1$ ) by Robinson [9] (see also Stanley [11] and Rodionov [10]). If we equate coefficients of  $\alpha^k$  in (2), we find that the graphic generating function for acyclic digraphs with  $k$  sources is

$$\frac{x^k}{(1+t)^{\binom{k}{2}} k!} \frac{F(-x/(1+t)^k)}{F(-x)},$$

as shown by Liskovets [7].

The approach used to derive Lemma 1 can also be applied to other types of acyclic digraphs. For example, in counting acyclic functional digraphs, a new vertex can be added as a source to a digraph with  $m$  vertices in  $m$  ways. We obtain the following analogue of Lemma 1:

**Proposition.** *Let  $R$  be a set of size  $r$  disjoint from the set of positive integers. Let*

$$T_n(\alpha) = \sum_V \alpha^{s(V)},$$

where the sum is over all acyclic functional digraphs  $V$  corresponding to functions from  $[n]$  to  $[n] \cup R$ , and  $s(V)$  is the number of elements of  $[n]$  which are sources of  $V$ . (Thus  $T_n(\alpha)$  counts forests of  $r$  rooted trees by the number of non-root leaves.) Let  $T_n = T_n(1)$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (n-j+r)^j \binom{n}{j} \alpha^j T_{n-j} = T_n(\alpha+1). \quad (5)$$

If we multiply (5) by  $x^n/n!$  and sum on  $n$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(\alpha+1) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{\alpha r x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k \frac{(x e^{\alpha x})^k}{k!}.$$

Setting  $\alpha = -1$  and using the fact that  $T_n(0)$  is 1 for  $n = 0$  and 0 for  $n > 0$ , we get a functional equation that can be solved by Lagrange inversion to get  $T_n = r(n+r)^{n-1}$ , as is well known; thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\alpha) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\alpha-1)^j r(n-j+r)^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha^k \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{n-k-j} \binom{n-k}{j} r(j+r)^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha^k r^l (n-k)! \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{l-1} S(n-l, n-k), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S(n, k)$  is the Stirling number of the second kind.

For a closely related approach to counting trees by leaves, see Bergeron, Labelle, and Leroux [1]. The same approach can also be used to count  $k$ -trees [8].

**2. Counting acyclic digraphs by sources and sinks.** We now count acyclic graphs by sources and sinks (vertices of outdegree zero). We use the same idea as before: starting with an acyclic digraph, we add some additional vertices as sources and some additional vertices as sinks. There is a small complication that arises for vertices that are both sources and sinks, but it is easy to deal with.

Let

$$B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_D \alpha^{s_0(D)} \beta^{s_1(D)} \gamma^{i(D)} t^{e(D)},$$

where the sum is over all acyclic digraphs  $D$  on  $[n]$ ; here  $s_0(D)$  is the number of sources of  $D$  that are not sinks,  $s_1(D)$  is the number of sinks of  $D$  that are not sources, and  $i(D)$  is the number of isolated vertices of  $D$ , i.e., vertices that are both sources and sinks.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 1, we get a formula for  $B_n$  analogous to (1) that yields the following generating function:

**Lemma 2.**

$$F(\alpha x) \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \frac{x^n}{(1+t) \binom{n}{2} n!} \right] F(\beta x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t; \alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \alpha + \beta + 1) \frac{x^n}{(1+t) \binom{n}{2} n!}. \quad (6)$$

From Lemma 2, we derive a generating function for  $B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ :

**Theorem 2.**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{(\gamma - \alpha - \beta + 1)x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(t; \alpha, \beta) \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(t; \alpha, \beta) \frac{x^n}{(1+t) \binom{n}{2} n!} = \frac{F((\alpha - 1)x) F((\beta - 1)x)}{F(-x)}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* Since any acyclic digraph consists of an acyclic digraph without isolated vertices together with a set of isolated vertices, we have the recurrence

$$B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \gamma^j B_{n-j}(t; \alpha, \beta, 0),$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{\gamma x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, 0) \frac{x^n}{n!}. \quad (9)$$

Now let  $C_n(t; \alpha, \beta) = B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta - 1)$ . Then (7) follows from (9), and (8) follows from (6) and (4).

The polynomials  $B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  are easily computed from (7) and (8). Note that (7) is an exponential generating function, whereas (8) is a graphic generating function.

The first few values of the polynomials  $B_n = B_n(t; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  are

$$B_0 = 1$$

$$B_1 = \gamma$$

$$B_2 = \gamma^2 + 2\alpha\beta t$$

$$B_3 = 3\alpha\beta^2 t^2 + 3\alpha^2 \beta t^2 + 6\alpha\beta t\gamma + \gamma^3 + 6\alpha\beta t^3 + 6\alpha\beta t^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 = & 12\alpha^2 \beta^2 t^2 + 24\alpha\beta t^3 + 4\alpha^3 \beta t^3 + 36\alpha^2 \beta t^3 + 24\alpha^2 \beta^2 t^3 + 6\alpha^2 \beta^2 t^4 + 48\alpha^2 \beta t^4 \\ & + 36\alpha\beta^2 t^3 + 48\alpha\beta^2 t^4 + 84\alpha\beta t^4 + 4\alpha\beta^3 t^3 + 84\alpha\beta t^5 + 12\alpha\beta^2 t^5 + 12\alpha^2 \beta t^5 \\ & + 24\alpha\beta t^6 + \gamma^4 + 12\alpha\beta t\gamma^2 + 12\alpha\beta^2 t^2 \gamma + 12\alpha^2 \beta t^2 \gamma + 24\alpha\beta t^3 \gamma + 24\alpha\beta t^2 \gamma \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials  $S_n(t)$  that count acyclic digraphs on  $[n]$  with a single source, a single sink,

and no isolated vertices are

$$S_2(t) = 2t$$

$$S_3(t) = 6t^2 + 6t^3$$

$$S_4(t) = 24t^3 + 84t^4 + 84t^5 + 24t^6$$

$$S_5(t) = 120t^4 + 960t^5 + 2660t^6 + 3500t^7 + 2400t^8 + 840t^9 + 120t^{10}$$

$$S_6(t) = 720t^5 + 10800t^6 + 59280t^7 + 170250t^8 + 296010t^9 + 334680t^{10} + 253920t^{11} \\ + 129300t^{12} + 42660t^{13} + 8280t^{14} + 720t^{15}$$

$$S_7(t) = 5040t^6 + 126000t^7 + 1175580t^8 + 5931240t^9 + 18958842t^{10} + 41833302t^{11} \\ + 67063080t^{12} + 80561460t^{13} + 73777620t^{14} + 51838080t^{15} + 27842220t^{16} \\ + 11261460t^{17} + 3327660t^{18} + 679140t^{19} + 85680t^{20} + 5040t^{21}$$

It may be noted that Theorems 1 and 2 have analogues in the context of the Cartier-Foata theory of free partially commutative monoids [3]: the analogue of (4) is due to Cartier and Foata [3, Théorème 2.4], the analogue of Theorem 1 is due in the case of a single source to Foata [4, Theorem 3.1] and in the general case to Viennot [12, Proposition 5.3], and the analogue of Theorem 2 is due to Bousquet-Mélou [2, Lemme 1.2 and Théorème 1.3].

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Bergeron, G. Labelle, and P. Leroux, *Computation of the expected number of leaves in a tree having a given automorphism, and related topics*, Discrete Applied Math. **34** (1991), 49–66.
2. M. Bousquet-Mélou, *q-Énumération de polyominos convexes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **64** (1993), 265–288.
3. P. Cartier and D. Foata, *Problèmes combinatoires de commutation et réarrangement*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 85, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
4. D. Foata, *A noncommutative version of the matrix inversion formula*, Adv. Math. **31** (1979), 330–339.
5. I. M. Gessel, *Enumerative applications of a decomposition for graphs and digraphs*, Discrete Math. **139**, 257–271.
6. I. M. Gessel and B. E. Sagan, *The Tutte polynomial of a graph, depth-first search, and simplicial complex partitions*, Electronic J. Combinatorics, to be published.
7. V. A. Liskovets, *On the number of maximal vertices of a random acyclic digraph*, Theory Probab. Appl. **20** (1975), 401–409.
8. J. W. Moon, *The number of labeled k-trees*, J. Combin. Theory **6** (1969), 196–199.
9. R. W. Robinson, *Counting labeled acyclic digraphs*, New Directions in the Theory of Graphs, Proc. Third Ann Arbor Conference on Graph Theory, ed. F. Harary, Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 239–273.
10. V. I. Rodionov, *On the number of labeled acyclic digraphs*, Discrete Math. **105** (1992), 319–321.
11. R. P. Stanley, *Acyclic orientations of graphs*, Discrete Math. **5** (1973), 171–178.
12. G. X. Viennot, *Heaps of pieces, I: Basic definitions and combinatorial lemmas*, Combinatoire Énumérative. Proceedings, Montréal, Québec 1985, ed. G. Labelle and P. Leroux. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1234, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, pp. 321–350.