

## MATH 101A: HOMEWORK

### 3. HOMEWORK 3 ANSWERS

The following problems were due Thursday (9/20/7).

**3.1.** Show that every subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N_G(P)$  is self-normalizing. [This means  $H = N_G(H)$  for every  $H$  which contains  $N_G(P)$ .]

Students gave three different proof.

*Proof.* My favorite proof uses 3.2. Since  $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H)$  and  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(H)$  it follows from the next problem that  $N_G(H) = HN_G(P) = H$  where we use that fact that

$$N_{N_G(H)}(P) = N_G(P) \cap N_G(H) = N_G(P)$$

since  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq N_G(H)$ . □

*Proof.* Let  $g \in N_G(H)$ . Then  $Q = gPg^{-1} \leq gHg^{-1} = H$ . So,  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  and, by Sylow, there is an element  $h \in H$  so that  $P = hQh^{-1} = hgPg^{-1}h^{-1}$ . But this implies that  $k = hg \in N_G(P) \leq H$ . So  $g = h^{-1}k \in H$ . This shows that  $N_G(H) \leq H$ . Since  $H \leq N_G(H)$ , they must be equal. □

Finally, there is the counting argument which is the proof I had in mind. It is more complicated. But I like it.

*Proof.*  $G$  acts on the set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  by conjugation. The stabilizer of  $P$  is  $N_G(P)$ . So, by the orbit-stabilizer formula, the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is equal to  $|G : N_G(P)|$ . Applying this to the group  $H$  we see that the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $H$  is equal to  $|H : N_G(P)|$ . For any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $N_G(H)$  there is an element  $x \in N_G(H)$  so that  $Q = xPx^{-1} \leq xHx^{-1} = H$ . Therefore,  $N_G(H)$  contains the same number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups as  $H$  and this number is

$$|N_G(H) : N_G(P)| = |H : N_G(P)|.$$

The ratio is  $|N_G(H) : H| = 1$ . Therefore,  $N_G(H) = H$ . □

---

*Date:* November 26, 2007.

**3.2.** If  $K \trianglelefteq G$  and  $P$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $K$  then  $KN_G(P) = G$ . [This follows from the fact that all conjugates of  $P$  lie in  $K$ .]

Almost everyone gave the same proof.

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $gPg^{-1} \leq gKg^{-1} = K$ . Therefore,  $gPg^{-1}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$  which implies that  $gPg^{-1} = kPk^{-1}$  for some  $k \in K$ . But then  $g^{-1}kPk^{-1}g = P$  making  $h = g^{-1}k$  an element of  $N_G(P)$ . So,  $g = kh^{-1} \in KN_G(P)$ . Since  $g \in G$  was arbitrary, this shows that  $G = KN_G(P)$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Skip this.** If each Sylow subgroup of  $G$  is normal then  $G$  is the product of its Sylow subgroups.

**3.4.** Show that  $T(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is nilpotent.

Let  $T_k$  be the group of all upper triangular matrices  $(x_{ij})$  so that  $x_{ii} = 1$  and  $x_{ij} = 0$  for  $0 < j - i < k$ . For example,  $T_1$  is the group of upper triangular matrices.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $[T_i, T_j] \subseteq T_{i+j}$ .

Given this lemma we see that  $T_k/T_{k+1}$  lies in the center of  $T/T_{k+1}$  since  $[T_k, T] = [T_k, T_1] \subseteq T_{k+1}$ . Therefore,

$$T = T_1 \supseteq T_2 \supseteq T_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq T_n = \{I_n\}$$

is a central series proving that the upper triangular matrix group is nilpotent. Therefore, it suffices to prove the lemma. (You don't need to prove separately that  $T_i$  is normal in  $T_1$ .)

*Proof.* The lemma can be rephrased as follows. Let  $X \in T_i, Y \in T_j$  then

$$XY \equiv YX \pmod{T_{i+j}}$$

But, equivalence module  $T_{i+j}$  is the same as saying that  $XY - YX \in U_{i+j}$  where  $U_k$  is the set of all upper triangular matrices  $(x_{ij})$  so that  $x_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j - i < k$  (including the case  $i = j$ ).

But  $X = I + A, Y = I + B$  where  $A \in U_i, B \in U_j$ . So,

$$XY - YX = (I + A + B + AB) - (I + B + A + BA) = AB - BA$$

This lies in  $U_{i+j}$  since both  $AB$  and  $BA$  lie in  $U_{i+j}$ .  $\square$