

Definition 1.10. If S is a subset of a group G then the subgroup generated by S , written $\langle S \rangle$, is defined to be the intersection of all subgroups of G which contain S :

$$\langle S \rangle := \bigcap_{S \subseteq H \leq G} H.$$

Definition 1.11. The commutator subgroup (also called the derived subgroup) $G' = [G, G]$ is the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[a, b]$.

In general, if $A, B \leq G$ then $[A, B]$ is defined to be the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[a, b]$ where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Theorem 1.12. $G' = [G, G]$ is a normal subgroup of G .

This follows immediately from the following two facts.

Lemma 1.13. (1) $x \langle S \rangle x^{-1} = \langle S \rangle$
 (2) $x[a, b]x^{-1} = [xax^{-1}, xbx^{-1}]$.

This lemma has a generalization:

Lemma 1.14. Given a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$, $a, b \in G$, $S \subseteq G$ we have:

- (1) $\phi \langle S \rangle = \langle \phi(S) \rangle$.
- (2) $\phi[a, b] = [\phi(a), \phi(b)]$.

Why is this a generalization of the previous lemma?

The main theorem about the commutator subgroup is the following.

Theorem 1.15. The image $\phi(G)$ of a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is abelian if and only if the kernel of ϕ contains the commutator subgroup

For this we need the following lemma whose proof is obvious.

Lemma 1.16. S is a subset of $H \leq G$ iff $\langle S \rangle$ is a subgroup of H .

Proof of Theorem 1.15. The argument which we did in class is reversible, i.e., “iff” at every step: For any $a, b \in G$ we have

$$[\phi(a), \phi(b)] = \phi[a, b].$$

$\phi(G)$ is abelian iff the LHS is always e . But, the RHS is always equal to e iff $[a, b] \in \ker \phi$ for all $a, b \in G$ which, by Lemma 1.16, is equivalent to saying that $G' \leq \ker \phi$. \square

The theorem has the following variation as an obvious corollary:

Corollary 1.17. Suppose that $N \trianglelefteq G$. Then G/N is abelian iff $G' \leq N$.

2. SOLVABLE GROUPS

After doing a review of group theory at lightning speed we managed to get to the first topic of the course on the first day: Solvable groups.

2.1. two definitions. I gave two equivalent definitions of a solvable group. Here is the first definition.

Definition 2.1. A group G is solvable if an iterated derived subgroup $G^{(n)}$ is trivial for some positive integer n . Here $G^{(n)}$ is defined recursively as follows.

- (1) $G^{(0)} = G$
- (2) $G^{(i+1)} = (G^{(i)})' = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$.

Serge Lang uses normal towers to define solvable groups.

Definition 2.2. A normal tower for a group G is a sequence of subgroups:

$$G = G_0 \geq G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \cdots \geq G_n = \{e\}$$

so that each subgroup is normal in the previous one: $G_i \trianglelefteq G_{i-1}$. The quotient groups G_{i-1}/G_i are called the subquotients of the tower.

In general a *subquotient* of a group G is a quotient of a subgroup of G . (This is more general than a subgroup of a quotient. Why is that?) Here is Lang's definition of a solvable group.

Definition 2.3. A group is solvable if it has a normal tower whose subquotients are all abelian. Lang calls these abelian towers.

We need to show that these definitions are equivalent. One direction is obvious. The first definition implies the second. This is because the derived series:

$$G \supseteq G' \supseteq G'' \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G^{(n)} = \{e\}$$

is a normal tower with abelian subquotients. To prove the converse, we need one more corollary or Theorem 1.15.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $H \leq G$, $N \trianglelefteq G$ and G/N is abelian. Then $H' \leq H \cap N$.

Proof. Take the composition

$$\phi: H \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/N.$$

Since $\phi(H) \leq G/N$ is abelian,

$$H' \leq \ker \phi = \{x \in H \mid \underbrace{\phi(x)}_{x \in N} = e\} = H \cap N.$$

□

Coming back to the equivalence of definitions, suppose that G has a normal tower with abelian subquotients. Since G/G_1 is abelian, $G' \leq G_1$. Suppose by induction that $G^{(k)} \leq G_k$. We know that $G_{k+1} \trianglelefteq G_k$ with abelian quotient. The corollary tells us that

$$(G^{(k)})' = G^{(k+1)} \leq G^{(k)} \cap G_{k+1} \leq G_{k+1}.$$

Therefore $G^{(n)} \leq G_k = \{e\}$ making G solvable by the first definition.

2.2. degree of solvability and examples. We say that G is solvable of degree n if $G^{(n)} = \{e\}$ and $G^{(n-1)}$ is nontrivial.

- (1) Abelian groups are solvable of degree 1 (except for the trivial group which is solvable of degree 0).
- (2) S_3 , the symmetric group on 3 letters is solvable of degree 2.
- (3) $T(n, \mathbb{Z})$, the group of unipotent matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} is solvable, but of what degree?

To prove that S_3 is solvable, take the normal tower:

$$S_3 \triangleright A_3 \triangleright \{e\}.$$

Here $A_3 = \{e, (123), (132)\}$ is the alternating group. This is a cyclic group and thus abelian and $S_3/A_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is also abelian. So, S_3 is solvable of degree 2.

As I mentioned in class, *unipotent* means upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal. Any unipotent matrix can be written in the form $I_n + X$ where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and X is a strictly upper triangular matrix, i.e.,

$$x_{ij} = 0 \text{ unless } j \geq i + 1$$

Let U_k be the set of strictly upper triangular matrices $X = (x_{ij})$ so that

$$x_{ij} = 0 \text{ unless } j \geq i + k$$

Then

$$U_j U_k \subseteq U_{j+k}$$

Therefore, every element of U_k , $k \geq 1$ is nilpotent: $X^n = 0$. This means that

$$(I + X)^{-1} = I - X + X^2 - X^3 + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} X^{n-1} = I - X(I + X)^{-1}.$$

Let

$$T_k = \{I + X \mid X \in U_k\}.$$

Then $T(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \{I + X \mid X \in U_1\} = T_1$.

Lemma 2.5. $T_1 \geq T_2 \geq T_3 \geq \cdots \geq T_n = \{I\}$ is a normal tower with abelian subquotients.

Proof. Take arbitrary elements $I + X, I + Y$ in T_1, T_k resp. Then
 $(I+X)(I+Y)(I+X)^{-1} = (I+X+Y+XY)(I+X)^{-1} = I+(Y+XY)(I+X)^{-1}$
 This is an element of T_k since $(Y + XY)(I + X)^{-1} \in U_k U_0 \subseteq U_k$.
 Therefore, $T_k \trianglelefteq T_1$.

If $X, Y \in U_k$ then expanding $(I + X)^{-1}$ as $I - X(I + X)^{-1}$ we get:
 $(I + X)(I + Y)(I + X)^{-1} = I + Y + XY - (Y + XY)X(I + X)^{-1}$.
 $[I + X, I + Y] = I + (XY - (Y + XY)X(I + X)^{-1})(I + Y)^{-1} \in T_{2k}$
 Therefore, T_k/T_{2k} is abelian. \square

This shows that $T(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is solvable of degree $\leq k$ if $n \leq 2^k$.

2.3. subgroups and quotient groups. We want to know that subgroups and quotient groups of solvable groups are solvable.

Theorem 2.6. *Every subgroup of a solvable group is solvable.*

Proof. If $H \leq G$ then $H' \leq G'$. This, in turn, implies that $(H')' = H^{(2)} \leq G^{(2)} = (G')'$. Eventually we get $H^{(n)} \leq G^{(n)} = \{e\}$. So, H is solvable of degree $\leq n$. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Every quotient group of a solvable group is solvable.*

Proof. I claim that

$$G/N \supseteq G'N/N \supseteq G^{(2)}N/N \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G^{(n)}N/N = \{e\}$$

is a normal tower for G/N with abelian quotients. In fact $G^{(k)}N/N$ is the image of $G^{(k)}$ under the homomorphism

$$G^{(k)} \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/N.$$

Therefore,

$$G^{(k)}N/N = (G/N)^{(k)}.$$

This uses the following lemma. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If $\phi : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ is an epimorphism (surjective homomorphism) then $\phi(G^{(k)}) = H^{(k)}$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N, G/N$ are solvable. Then G is solvable.*

Proof. We are given that $N, G/N$ are solvable. So, we have abelian towers

$$N \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \cdots N_n = \{e\}$$

Since subgroups of G/N all have the form H/N for some $N \leq H \leq G$, the abelian tower for G/N looks like this:

$$G/N \supseteq G_1/N \supseteq G_2/N \cdots G_m/N = \{e\}$$

Where $G_m = N$. By the following lemma the abelian subquotients of this tower are

$$\frac{G^{(k)}/N}{G^{(k+1)}/N} \cong G^{(k)}/G^{(k+1)}$$

Therefore,

$$G \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_m = N \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq N_n = \{e\}$$

is a normal tower for G with abelian subquotients proving that G is solvable of degree $\leq n + m$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *If N, H are normal subgroups of G with $N \leq H$ then*

$$\frac{G/N}{H/N} \cong \frac{G}{H}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi : G/N \rightarrow G/H$ be the homomorphism given by $\phi(aN) = aNH = aH$. Then ϕ is clearly onto and $\ker \phi = \{aN \in G/N \mid aH = H\}$. But $aH = H$ iff $a \in H$. So, $\ker \phi = H/N$. The lemma follows from the equation

$$\text{image}(\phi) = \frac{\text{domain}(\phi)}{\ker \phi}$$

\square