

8. FINITE GENERATION AND ACC

I continued the discussion of finitely generated modules by talking about Noetherian modules and rings. One example lead to a discussion of the “restriction of scalars” functor and the basis theorem for finite dimensional vector spaces over a field. This is a precursor to the fundamental theorem for f.g. modules over a PID and applications to matrices.

8.1. Noetherian rings and modules.

8.1.1. definitions.

Definition 8.1. If M is an R -module, an *ascending chain* of submodules of M is an increasing sequence of submodules:

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$$

We say that M satisfies the *ascending chain condition* (ACC) for submodules if every such sequence stops, i.e., if there is a k so that $N_n = N_k$ for all $n \geq k$.

Definition 8.2. A module M is *Noetherian* if it satisfies the ACC for submodules. A commutative ring R is called *Noetherian* if it satisfies the ACC for ideals. This is equivalent to saying that R is a Noetherian when considered as an R -module. (A noncommutative ring is called *left-Noetherian* if it satisfies the ACC for left ideals.)

8.1.2. *examples.* The first example I gave was \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem 8.3. \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian (as a ring and as a module over \mathbb{Z}).

I proved this twice. The first time, I used the properties of the integers. The second time I used only the fact that \mathbb{Z} is a PID.

Proof. To show that \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian we took an ascending chain:

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$$

Each submodule N_i is an ideal (or \mathbb{Z}) generated by one element $n_i \geq 0$ and the condition $N_i \subseteq N_{i+1}$ is equivalent to saying that n_{i+1} divides n_i . In particular, $n_{i+1} \leq n_i$. So,

$$n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \cdots .$$

Since these numbers are bounded below (by 0), the sequence stops (becomes constant) at some point. Thus $n_k = n_{k+1} = \cdots$. This is equivalent to saying that

$$N_k = N_{k+1} = \cdots .$$

So, the ACC holds and \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian. □

Second proof. In the second proof, I took the union of the N_i and called it N_∞

$$N_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i.$$

This is a submodule of \mathbb{Z} since it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication: If $a \in N_i$ and $b \in N_j$ where $i \leq j$ then $a + b \in N_j$. The submodule N_∞ is generated by one element n_∞ which is contained in some N_k . But then:

$$(n_\infty) \subseteq N_k \subseteq N_{k+1} \leq N_{k+2} \leq \dots \subseteq N_\infty = (n_\infty).$$

So,

$$N_k = N_{k+1} = N_{k+2} = \dots$$

as before. □

The second proof works for any PID. This is Corollary 8.6 below.

Some other easy examples of Noetherian rings and modules are the following.

- (1) Any finite ring or module is Noetherian.
- (2) Any quotient of a Noetherian ring or module is Noetherian.
- (3) A finite product of Noetherian rings is Noetherian. (Proof: Every ideal in $R \times S$ has the form $I \times J$ where I is an ideal in R and J is an ideal in S . In any ascending chain $(I_n \times J_n)$, both sequences I_n and J_n have to stop.)

8.1.3. *theorem.* Here is the general theorem about Noetherian modules.

Theorem 8.4. *An R -module is Noetherian M if and only if every submodule is finitely generated.*

Corollary 8.5. *A commutative ring is Noetherian if and only if every ideal is finitely generated.*

Corollary 8.6. *Every PID is Noetherian.*

Proof. Suppose first that M has a submodule N which is not finitely generated. Then, any finite subset of N will generate a proper submodule.

Let $x_1 \in N$. Then $Rx_1 \subsetneq N$. So, there is an $x_2 \in N$, $x_2 \notin Rx_1$. Then $Rx_1 + Rx_2 \subsetneq N$. So, there is an $x_3 \in N \setminus (Rx_1 + Rx_2)$. Continuing in this way, we get a strictly increasing sequence of submodules

$$Rx_1 \subsetneq Rx_1 + Rx_2 \subsetneq Rx_1 + Rx_2 + Rx_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

So, the ACC fails and M is not Noetherian.

Conversely, suppose that every submodule of M is finitely generated. Then we have to show that any ascending chain:

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$$

stops. To show this take the union

$$N_\infty = \bigcup N_i$$

This is a submodule of M and is therefore finitely generated. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be the generators. Then each x_i is contained in some N_j . If k is the maximum of the indices j then all the x_i will be contained in N_k . But then N_k must equal N_∞ . So,

$$N_k = N_{k+1} = N_{k+2} = \cdots$$

and the ACC holds. So, M is Noetherian. \square

8.2. restriction of scalars. I explained one example of a Noetherian module which used restriction of scalars and the basis theorem for f.g. vector spaces. I explained these tools after the example. So, I will do the same thing here.

Example 8.7. Let R be the polynomial ring $R = F[T]$ over a field F . Then a module M can be constructed as follows. Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in the field F . Then $M = F^n$ is a module if we define the action of a polynomial $p(T) = \sum r_i T^i \in R = F[T]$ by

$$p(T)x = \sum r_i A^i x$$

then M is a Noetherian R -module. To see this take any ascending chain of submodules:

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$$

Since R contains the ground field F , every R -module is also a vector space over F . Thus every N_i is a vector space over F . So, it has a dimension d_i . Since $M \cong F^n$, its dimension is n . So,

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq \cdots \leq n$$

This implies that the sequence stops, i.e., there is a $k \geq 1$ so that

$$d_k = d_{k+1} = \cdots$$

But, every proper subspace of a vector space has smaller dimension, so

$$N_k = N_{k+1} = \cdots$$

I.e., the ACC holds and M is Noetherian.

The key point is the realization that an R -module is also a vector space over F . This is called “restriction of scalars.” The definition is as follows.

Definition 8.8. Suppose that either

- (1) S is a subring of R or
- (2) $\phi : S \rightarrow R$ is a ring homomorphism. (We can let $\phi : S \rightarrow R$ be the inclusion map to make (1) a special case of (2).)

Then, in either case, we get a *restriction of scalars* functor

$$\phi^* : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow S\text{-Mod}$$

given on objects by $\phi^*(M) = M$ considered as an S -module in the following way:

- (1) When $S \subseteq R$ we just restrict the action of R . So, for any $a \in S$ and $x \in M$ we let $ax = ax$. This makes sense since $a \in R$.
- (2) In the general case we let $sx = \phi(s)x$. In other words, the action of S on M is given by the composition

$$S \xrightarrow{\phi} R \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$$

where α is the action of R on M .

Just as $\phi^*(M) = M$ with a different action, $\phi^*(f : M \rightarrow N)$ is also the same mapping $f : M \rightarrow N$ considered as a homomorphism of S -modules.

The other fact that we used in the last example was our knowledge of linear algebra over any field. I decided to go over some of that.

8.3. finite dimensional vector spaces. Recall that a module over a field F is the same as a vector space. So I called it V . Suppose V is finitely generated with generators v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . In linear algebra we say that these vectors *span* V and every element of V is a linear combination of these vectors:

$$(\forall x \in V)(\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in F) \quad x = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_nv_n$$

This is equivalent to saying that we have an epimorphism

$$\phi : F^n \rightarrow V$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum x_iv_i$$

The set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a *basis* for V if this mapping is an isomorphism.

8.3.1. *existence of a basis.*

Lemma 8.9. *If the epimorphism $\phi : F^n \rightarrow V$ is not an isomorphism then V is generated by $n - 1$ elements.*

This lemma implies the existence of a basis for a finitely generated vector space. (The word “dimension” is not yet justified. Since this is defined to be the number of elements in a basis, we need to show that this number is unique to show that dimension is well-defined.)

Theorem 8.10. *Any minimal finite spanning set for a vector space V is a basis.*

As I pointed out the next day, this implies the following.

Corollary 8.11. *Every finite spanning set for a vector space contains a basis.*

Proof of Lemma. Suppose that $\phi : F^n \rightarrow V$ is not an isomorphism. Then $\ker \phi \neq 0$. So, there is a nonzero element $x \in \ker \phi$. But $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ being nonzero means one of the coordinates is nonzero. Say $x_1 \neq 0$. Then $x_1^{-1} \in F$. So,

$$v_1 = \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{x_i v_i}{x_1}$$

which means that the $n - 1$ vectors v_2, \dots, v_n span V as claimed. \square

8.3.2. *dimension.* On the next day, I showed that the number of elements in a finite basis is uniquely determined.

Theorem 8.12. *If V is a finitely generated vector space over a field F then any two bases for V have the same number of elements.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.10, V has a finite basis. The proof will be by induction on n , the size of the smallest basis for V .

Suppose the theorem were not true. Then $F^n \cong V \cong F^m$ where $m > n$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ be a basis with the larger number of elements. Let $W = Fv_m$. Then $V/W \cong F^{m-1}$ since $v_1 + W, \dots, v_{m-1} + W$ form a basis. The homomorphism

$$\phi : F^n \cong V \rightarrow V/W$$

is onto with nontrivial kernel $\ker \phi = W$. So, by Lemma 8.9, V/W is generated by $n - 1$ elements. By Corollary 8.11, this implies that V/W has a basis with $\leq n - 1$ elements. By induction on n , all bases for V/W have the same size. This is a contradiction since we know that V/W has a basis with $m - 1$ elements. \square