

## 15. CARTAN SUBALGEBRAS

The next project is to show that the Cartan subalgebra  $H$  of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$  is unique up to an automorphism of  $L$ . However, it turns out to be easier to generalize the notion of Cartan subalgebra to a not necessarily semisimple Lie algebra and then show that this more general notion is unique up to an inner automorphism of  $L$ .

There are two equivalent definitions for a Cartan subalgebra  $H$  of a general Lie algebra  $L$ :

- (1)  $H$  is a self-normalizing nilpotent subalgebra, i.e., a nilpotent subalgebra of  $L$  so that

$$H = N_L(H) := \{x \in L \mid [xH] \subseteq H\}$$

For  $L$  semisimple, this is equivalent to the theorem that  $H = L_0$ .

- (2)  $H$  is a minimal Engel subalgebra (defined below).

Humphreys points out that the ground field  $F$  can be of arbitrary characteristic. But, when  $F$  is finite, we need to assume that  $F$  has more than  $2 \dim L$  elements.

**15.1. Engel subalgebras.** For any endomorphism  $x$  of  $V \cong F^n$  let

$$V_0(x) := \ker(x^n) = \ker(x^m) \quad \forall m \geq n.$$

Note that  $x$  acts nilpotently on  $V_0(x)$  and  $x$  acts as an automorphism on  $V/V_0(x)$ .

**Lemma 15.1.1.** *Suppose that  $z, x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  and  $V \cong F^n$ .*

- (1) *The set of all  $c \in F$  so that  $z + cx$  is nilpotent is either finite with  $\leq n$  elements or all of  $F$ .*  
 (2) *The set of all  $c \in F$  so that  $z + cx$  is not an automorphism of  $V$  is either finite with  $\leq n$  elements or all of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of  $z + cx$ ,

$$p(T) = \det(z + cx - T)$$

is a polynomial in  $T$  of degree  $n$  whose coefficients are polynomials in the entries of the matrix for  $z + cx$ . Considering  $z, x$  to be constant, these coefficients are polynomials in  $c$ :

$$p(T) = (-1)^n T^n + g_1(c) T^{n-1} + \cdots + g_n(c)$$

where  $\deg g_i(c) \leq i \leq n$ .

(2)  $z + cx$  is not an automorphism iff its determinant is zero:  $p(0) = g_n(c) = 0$ . But  $g_n$  has at most  $n$  roots unless it is the zero polynomial in which case  $g_n(c) = 0$  for all  $c \in F$ .

(1)  $z + cx$  is nilpotent iff  $p(T) = (-1)^n T^n$ . This happens iff  $g_i(c) = 0$  for all  $i$ . This is an intersection of sets each of which is either finite with  $\leq n$  elements or all of  $F$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 15.1.2.** Show that  $L_0(\text{ad } x)$  is a subalgebra of  $L$  and  $x \in L_0(\text{ad } x)$ . Show that a subalgebra  $K$  of  $L$  is nilpotent iff  $K \subseteq L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for all  $x \in K$ .

**Definition 15.1.3.** An *Engel subalgebra* of  $L$  is any subalgebra of the form  $E = L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for some  $x \in L$ . We call  $x$  an *annihilator* for  $E$ .

**Lemma 15.1.4.** *Let  $K$  any subalgebra of  $L$  and let  $z \in K$  be so that the Engel subalgebra  $E = L_0(\text{ad } z)$  is minimal among all those with annihilator in  $K$ . Suppose that  $K \subseteq E$ . Then  $E \subseteq L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for all  $x \in K$ . In particular,  $K$  is nilpotent.*

Recall that we are assuming  $F$  has at least  $2n + 1$  elements where  $n = \dim_F L$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in K$ . Then, for any  $c \in F$ ,  $z + cx \in K \subseteq E$ . So,  $\text{ad}(z + cx)$  stabilizes  $E$  and induces an endomorphism of both  $E$  and  $L/E$ . Since  $\text{ad } z$  is an isomorphism on  $L/E$ ,  $\text{ad}(z + cx)$  will be an isomorphism on  $L/E$  for all but a finite number ( $\leq n$ ) of  $c \in F$ . Since  $F$  has at least  $2n + 1$  elements,  $\text{ad}(z + cx)$  is an isomorphism on  $L/E$  for at least  $n + 1$  values of  $c$ . For each of these values of  $c$  we must have

$$L_0(\text{ad}(z + cx)) \subseteq E$$

But, the minimality of  $E$  implies that these must be equal. Therefore,  $\text{ad}_E(z + cx)$  is nilpotent for  $n + 1$  values of  $c$ . By the previous lemma,  $\text{ad}_E(z + cx)$  must be nilpotent for all values of  $c \in F$ . This implies that  $L_0(\text{ad}(z + x)) \supseteq E$  for all  $x \in K$ . Replacing  $x$  with  $x - z$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 15.1.5.** *Any subalgebra  $K$  of  $L$  which contains an Engel subalgebra is self-normalizing, i.e.,  $K = N_L(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K$  contains  $E = L_0(\text{ad } x)$ . Then  $x \in E \subseteq K$ . So,  $[x, K] \subseteq K$  and  $[x, N_L(K)] \subseteq K$ . So,  $\text{ad } x$  stabilizes  $E, K$  and  $N_L(K)$ . But we know that  $\text{ad } x$  acts as an automorphism on  $L/E$  and therefore on  $L/K$ . And  $\text{ad } x$  annihilates  $N_L(K)/K$ . Therefore,  $N_L(K)/K = 0$ . So,  $N_L(K) = K$ .  $\square$

## 15.2. Cartan subalgebras.

**Theorem 15.2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a subalgebra of  $L$ . Then tfae.*

- (1)  $H$  is a minimal Engel subalgebra of  $L$ .
- (2)  $H$  is nilpotent and self-normalizing.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $H = L_0(\text{ad } x)$  is minimal then  $x \in H$  and  $H$  is nilpotent by Lemma 15.1.4. By Lemma 15.1.5, any Engel subalgebra is self-normalizing.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Since  $H$  is nilpotent,  $H \subseteq L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for all  $x \in H$ . In particular,  $H$  cannot properly contain any Engel subalgebra. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $H = L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for some  $x \in H$ .

Suppose not. Let  $E = L_0(\text{ad } z)$  be minimal. Then,  $E \subseteq L_0(\text{ad } x)$  for all  $x \in H$  by Lemma 15.1.4. This implies that every element of  $H$  acts nilpotently on  $E/H$ . By Engel's Lemma 3.1.3, there is some nonzero element  $x + H \in E/H$  which is annihilated by every element of  $H$ . In other words  $[H, x + H] \subseteq H$ . So,  $[H, x] \subseteq x$  which means  $x \in N_L(H) = H$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.2.2.** *If  $H$  is a subalgebra of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$  then tfae.*

- (2)  $H$  is nilpotent and self-normalizing.
- (3)  $H$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $L$ , i.e., a maximal subalgebra which is abelian in which all the elements are semisimple.

*Proof.* We already observed that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) since abelian implies nilpotent and  $H = L_0$  implies  $H = N_L(H)$ . To show the converse, suppose that  $H$  is a minimal Engel subalgebra of  $L$ . Then  $H = L_0(\text{ad } x)$ . But  $x = x_s + x_n$  and  $x_n$  is nilpotent on all of  $L$ . So,  $L_0(\text{ad } x) = L_0(\text{ad } x_s)$ . But, for semisimple elements, generalized eigenspaces are the same as eigenspaces. So,

$$H = L_0(\text{ad } x_s) = \ker(\text{ad } x_s) = C_L(x_s)$$

Since  $Fx_s$  is abelian and its elements are all semisimple,  $Fx_s$  is contained in some Cartan subalgebra  $C$  of  $L$ . Since  $C$  is abelian it is contained in  $H = C_L(x_s)$ . But we just proved that Cartan subalgebras are Engel subalgebras. Therefore  $C$  is an Engel subalgebra contained in the minimal Engel subalgebra  $H$ . Therefore  $C = H$ , making  $H$  a Cartan subalgebra.  $\square$

**Definition 15.2.3.** A *Cartan subalgebra* (CSA) of a Lie algebra  $L$  is defined to be any nilpotent self-normalizing subalgebra of  $L$ .

By the corollary above, this definition agrees with the previous definition when  $L$  is semisimple. The proof of the corollary also gives the following.

**Corollary 15.2.4.** *For any Cartan subalgebra  $H$  of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$ , there exists an element  $x \in H$  so that  $H = C_L(x)$ .*

We call  $x$  a *regular semisimple element* of  $L$ .

### 15.3. Functorial properties of CSA's.

**Lemma 15.3.1.** *Any epimorphism of Lie algebras  $\varphi : L \rightarrow L'$  takes CSA's of  $L$  onto CSA's of  $L'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a CSA of  $L$ . Then  $\varphi(H)$  is nilpotent. So, it suffices to show that  $\varphi(H)$  is self-normalizing. But  $\varphi(x)$  normalizes  $\varphi(H)$  iff  $x$  normalizes  $H + \ker \varphi$  in  $L$ . But  $H + \ker \varphi$  contains the Engel subalgebra  $H$  and is thus self-normalizing by Lemma 15.1.5. Therefore,  $x \in H + \ker \varphi$  making  $\varphi(x) \in \varphi(H)$ . So,  $\varphi(H)$  is self-normalizing and nilpotent.  $\square$

**Proposition 15.3.2.** *For any epimorphism  $\varphi : L \rightarrow L'$  and any CSA  $H'$  of  $L'$ , let  $K = \varphi^{-1}(H')$ . Then any CSA of  $K$  is a CSA of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a CSA of  $K$ . Then  $H$  is nilpotent and  $H = N_K(H)$ . So, it suffices to show that  $H = N_L(H)$ . Since  $\varphi(K) = H'$ , the lemma above implies that  $\varphi(H) = H'$  (since  $H'$  is the only CSA of  $H'$ ). Let  $x \in N_L(H)$ . Then  $\varphi(x)$  normalizes  $\varphi(H) = H'$ . So,  $\varphi(x) \in H'$  making  $x \in K$ . But  $H = N_K(H)$ . So,  $x \in H$ , proving that  $N_L(H) = H$  as required.  $\square$

**Exercise 15.3.3.** (1) If  $H$  is a CSA of  $L$  then prove that  $H$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of  $L$ .

(2) Prove Lemma 15.1.4 using the assumption that  $F$  has at least  $n+1$  elements where  $n = \dim L$ .