

**16.4. Borel subalgebras.** The book uses Borel subalgebras to prove that CSA's are conjugate. We are doing this backwards. Given that CSA's are conjugate by the action of  $\mathcal{E}(L)$ , we will show that Borel subalgebras are all conjugate. This is Humphreys proof which we read backwards.

16.4.1. *definition and basic properties.* A Borel subalgebra  $B$  of  $L$  is defined to be a maximal solvable subalgebra. The first two basic properties are clear.

**Lemma 16.4.1.** *Every Borel subalgebra is self normalizing:  $B = N_L(B)$ .*  $\square$

Recall that  $\text{Rad}(L)$  is the unique maximal solvable ideal of  $L$  and that  $L/\text{Rad}(L)$  is semisimple.

**Lemma 16.4.2.** *Every Borel subalgebra  $B$  of  $L$  contains the solvable radical  $\text{Rad}(L)$ . And  $B \leftrightarrow B/\text{Rad}(L)$  gives a bijection between the set of Borel subalgebras of  $L$  and those of  $L/\text{Rad}(L)$ .*  $\square$

This lemma reduces the problem to the case when  $L$  is semisimple. We will show the following.

**Theorem 16.4.3.** *For any two Borel subalgebras  $B, B'$  of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$ , there is an automorphism  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(L)$  so that  $B' = \sigma(B)$ .*

With the lemma and the functorial properties of  $\mathcal{E}(L)$  we get the following.

**Corollary 16.4.4.** *For any two Borel subalgebras  $B, B'$  of a Lie algebra  $L$ , there is an automorphism  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{E}(L)$  so that  $B' = \sigma'(B)$ .*

*Proof.* By the theorem, there is a  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(L/\text{Rad}(L))$  so that  $\sigma(B/\text{Rad}(L)) = B'/\text{Rad}(L)$ . By the functorial properties of  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(L/\text{Rad}(L))$  lifts to an element  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{E}(L)$  so that  $\varphi\sigma' = \sigma\varphi$ . So,

$$\varphi\sigma'(B) = \sigma'(B)/\text{Rad}(L) = \sigma(B/\text{Rad}(L)) = B'/\text{Rad}(L)$$

and therefore,  $\sigma(B) = B'$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove the theorem.

16.4.2. *standard Borel subalgebras.* The standard example of a Borel subalgebra is given as follows.

**Lemma 16.4.5.** *Let  $L$  be a semisimple Lie algebra  $H$  a CSA, with root system  $\Phi$  and base  $\Delta$ . Then*

$$B(\Delta) := H \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi_+} L_\beta$$

*is a Borel subalgebra of  $L$ . These are called the Standard Borel subalgebras of  $L$ . Conversely, any Borel subalgebra of  $L$  which contains  $H$  is standard.*

**Exercise 16.4.6.** Show that  $N(\Delta) := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi_+} L_\beta$  is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of  $L$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $B(\Delta)$  is solvable since  $[B(\Delta), B(\Delta)] = N(\Delta)$  is nilpotent.

Conversely, we claim that any solvable subalgebra  $B$  of  $L$  which contains  $H$  is contained in  $B(\Delta)$  for some base  $\Delta$  for  $\Phi$ . This will prove both statements in our lemma. To prove this, let  $B$  be solvable and  $H \subseteq B$ . Then  $B$  has a weight space decomposition wrt  $H$ :

$$B = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in S} L_\beta$$

for some set of roots  $S \subseteq \Phi$ . But  $S$  cannot contain both  $\beta$  and  $-\beta$  since, otherwise,  $L$  would contain the semisimple Lie algebra  $S_\beta = L_{-\beta} \oplus Fh_\beta \oplus L_\beta$  which is not possible since all subalgebras of solvable algebras are solvable. But this implies that  $S \subseteq \Phi_+$  with respect to some base  $\Delta$  and thus  $B \subseteq B(\Delta)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.4.7.** *Given a fixed CSA  $H$  of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$ , any two Borel subalgebras  $B$  containing  $H$  are conjugate by an element of  $\mathcal{E}(L)$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemma we know that each  $B \supseteq H$  has the form  $B(\Delta)$ . We also know that the Weyl group  $W$  acts transitively on the set of bases  $\Delta$ . But  $W$  is generated by reflections  $\sigma_\alpha$  which lift to the elements of  $\mathcal{E}(L)$  given by:

$$\tau_\alpha = \exp \operatorname{ad} x_\alpha \cdot \exp \operatorname{ad} (-y_\alpha) \cdot \exp \operatorname{ad} x_\alpha$$

Claim 1  $\tau_\alpha(h_\alpha) = -h_\alpha$

*Pf:* Since  $[x_\alpha, h_\alpha] = -2x_\alpha$ ,  $[y_\alpha, h_\alpha] = 2y_\alpha$  and  $[y_\alpha, x_\alpha] = -h_\alpha$  we get:

$$\exp \operatorname{ad} x_\alpha(h_\alpha) = h_\alpha + [x_\alpha, h_\alpha] + \frac{1}{2}[x_\alpha[x_\alpha, h_\alpha]] + \dots = h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \operatorname{ad} (-y_\alpha)(h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha) &= (h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha) - [y_\alpha, (h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha)] + \frac{1}{2}[y_\alpha[y_\alpha, (h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha)]] + \dots \\ &= (h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha) - (2y_\alpha + 2h_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(4y_\alpha) \\ &= -h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp \operatorname{ad} x_\alpha(-h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha) = (-h_\alpha - 2x_\alpha) + 2x_\alpha = -h_\alpha$$

Claim 2 If  $h \in K = \ker \alpha$  then  $\tau_\alpha(h) = h$ .

*Pf:* This follows from the fact that  $[h, x_\alpha] = \alpha(h)x_\alpha = 0$  and similarly  $[h, y_\alpha] = 0$ .

Together, these two Claims imply that  $\tau_\alpha^* : H^* \rightarrow H^*$  sends  $\alpha$  to  $-\alpha$  since  $\alpha\tau_\alpha(h) = \alpha(h) = 0$  for  $h \in K$  and  $\alpha\tau_\alpha(h_\alpha) = -2h_\alpha$ . For any  $x \in H^*$  orthogonal to  $\alpha$  we have  $x(h_\alpha) = 0$ . So,  $x\tau_\alpha(h_\alpha) = 0$  and  $x\tau_\alpha(h) = x(h)$  for any  $h \in K$ . So,  $\tau_\alpha^*(x) = x$ . In other words,  $\tau_\alpha^*$  is equal to the reflection  $\sigma_\alpha$  as claimed. Therefore, the subgroup of  $\mathcal{E}(L)$  generated by the  $\tau_\alpha$  acts transitively on the set of Borel subalgebras of  $L$  containing  $H$ .  $\square$

Since  $\mathcal{E}(L)$  acts transitively on the set of CSA's of  $L$  we get the following.

**Theorem 16.4.8.**  *$\mathcal{E}(L)$  acts transitively on the set of all standard Borel subalgebras of  $L$ .*

The only thing left to show is the following.

**Theorem 16.4.9.** *All Borel subalgebras of a semisimple Lie algebra  $L$  are standard.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a Borel subalgebra of  $L$ . To show that  $B$  is standard, it suffices to show that  $B$  contains a CSA  $H$  of  $L$ . So, we may assume that  $B$  does not contain any CSA.

Claim 1  $B$  contains at least one nonzero semisimple element  $t$ .

*Pf:* We know that  $B$  is self-normalizing. So, it cannot be nilpotent. Otherwise,  $B$  would be a CSA. So,  $B$  contains a nonzero element  $x$  which is not nilpotent. Then  $x_s \neq 0$ . But  $[x_s, B] \subseteq B$  since  $x_s$  normalizes any subalgebra which is normalized by  $x$ . So,  $x_s \in N_L(B) = B$  as claimed.

Claim 2 We may assume  $t$  is not in the center of  $B$ .

Since  $t$  is semisimple, it is contained in a CSA  $H$  of  $L$  and  $H$  is abelian. If  $t$  is central in  $B$  then  $H, B \subset C = C_L(t) \subsetneq L$  are CSA and Borel respectively in  $C$ . By induction on the size of  $L$ , there is some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(C)$  so that  $\sigma(H) \subseteq B$ . But  $\sigma(H)$  is also a CSA of  $L$  and we are done.

Since  $t$  is semisimple,  $B$  decomposes as eigenspaces of the action of  $\text{ad } t$ :

$$B = B_0 \oplus \bigoplus B_\lambda$$

Since  $t$  is not central in  $B$ , one of the  $B_\lambda$  is nonzero,  $\lambda \neq 0 \in F$ . Take  $x \neq 0$  in  $B_\lambda$ . Then  $x$  is nilpotent (since  $[x, B_\alpha] \subseteq B_{\alpha+\lambda}$ ) and  $[t, x] = \lambda x$ . So,  $[t_0, x] = x$  where  $t_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda}t$ .

Let  $H$  be a CSA of  $L$  which contains  $t$ . Then, using the root space decomposition of  $L$  wrt  $H$ , we get

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{\beta \in S} c_\beta x_\beta$$

where  $x_0 \in H, x_\beta \in L_\beta$  and  $c_\beta \neq 0 \in F$  for each  $\beta$  is a subset  $S \subseteq \Phi$ .

Claim 3  $x_0 = 0$  and  $S \subseteq \Phi_+$  with respect to some base  $\Delta$ . Thus  $x \in N(\Delta)$ .

*Pf:* The calculation

$$[t_0, x] = x = \sum c_\beta \beta(t_0) x_\beta$$

implies that  $x_0 = 0$  and  $\beta(t_0) = 1$  for all  $\beta \in S$ . So,  $\beta$  and  $-\beta$  cannot both be in  $S$ . Claim 3 follows.

This implies  $A = B \cap B(\Delta)$  contains the nonzero nilpotent element  $x \in N = B \cap N(\Delta)$ . Choose  $\Delta$  so that  $A$  is maximal and  $N \neq 0$ . (If  $A = B$  we are done.) Since  $N(\Delta)$  is an ideal in  $B(\Delta)$ ,  $N$  is an ideal in  $A$ . So,  $A \subset K = N_L(N) \subsetneq L$ . ( $L$  has no ideals.)

Claim 4  $A$  is properly contained in  $B \cap K$  and in  $B(\Delta) \cap K$ .

*Pf:* Since  $N \subseteq B$  is nilpotent, the action of  $N$  on  $B/A$  is nilpotent and there is a  $y \in B, y \notin A$  so that  $[N, y] \subseteq A$ . But  $[N, y] \subseteq [B, B]$  is nilpotent. So, we must have  $[N, y] \subseteq N$ . So,  $y \in K \cap B$  but  $y \notin A$ . The other case is similar.

Let  $C, C'$  be Borel subalgebras of  $K$  which contain  $B \cap K, B(\Delta) \cap K$  respectively. (See Figure 1 on p.85 in the book.) Then, by induction on  $\dim L$ , there is a  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}(K)$  sending  $C$  to  $C'$ . So, we may assume that  $C = C'$ . Let  $B'$  be a Borel subalgebra of  $L$  containing  $C = C'$ . Then,  $B' \cap B(\Delta) \supseteq K \cap B(\Delta) \supseteq A = B \cap B(\Delta)$ . Therefore, by maximality of  $A$ ,  $B'$  is standard. But we also have  $B \cap B' \subseteq B \cap K \supseteq A = B \cap B(\Delta)$ . So,  $B$  is also standard by maximality of  $A$ . So, every Borel subalgebra of  $L$  is standard.  $\square$