

**24.4. Outline of the proof.** We want to prove the Weyl character formula

$$\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda = \omega(\lambda + \delta)$$

In this form, both sides are alternating with highest weight  $\lambda + \delta$  and the coefficient of  $e(\lambda + \delta)$  is 1 on both sides.

**Lemma 24.4.1.** *The elements  $\omega(\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda$  strongly dominant, form a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]^-$ , the additive group of alternating elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  has at least one highest weight  $\lambda$  which is dominant. But, if  $\lambda$  is dominant but not strongly dominant, then the coefficient of  $e(\lambda)$  must be zero for any alternating element since  $s_i\lambda = \lambda$  for some simple reflection  $s_i$  and  $e(s_i\lambda) = e(\lambda)$  have coefficients of opposite sign (being alternating).

The lemma is now an easy induction. Choose a highest weight  $\lambda$  and subtract the appropriate multiple of  $\omega(\lambda)$  to reduce the size of the support.  $\square$

**Definition 24.4.2** (Dominance order). If  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  are integer weights, we say  $\lambda > \mu$  if  $\lambda - \mu$  is dominant.

**Exercise 24.4.3.** If  $\lambda, \mu$  are strongly dominant weights with  $\lambda > \mu$  then show that  $\|\lambda\| > \|\mu\|$ . (Since  $(\mu, \lambda - \mu) \geq 0$ .)

**Lemma 24.4.4.** *Let  $\Delta : \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  be the linear function given by*

$$\Delta\left(\sum n_\mu e(\mu)\right) = \sum n_\mu (\mu, \mu) e(\mu)$$

then

$$\Delta\left(\sum a_\lambda \omega(\lambda)\right) = \sum a_\lambda \|\lambda\|^2 \omega(\lambda)$$

To prove the character formula it is enough to show that

$$\Delta(\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda) = \|\lambda + \delta\|^2 \omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda$$

The reason is that,  $\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda$  is alternating and has highest weight  $\lambda + \delta$ . The other weights that occur in  $\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda$  are

$$\mu + \sigma(\delta) < \lambda + \delta$$

since  $\mu \leq \lambda$  and  $\sigma(\delta) \leq \delta$ .

24.4.1. *Formulas for  $\omega(\delta)$ .* Recall that  $\delta = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{2}\alpha$  where  $\alpha > 0$  means  $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ . Thus

$$e(\delta) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} e(\alpha/2)$$

We used the following formula in the proof of the dimension formula (Theorem 22.8.1) and we need it again.

**Lemma 24.4.5.**

$$\omega(\delta) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \left( e\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) - e\left(\frac{-\alpha}{2}\right) \right) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} e(\delta)(1 - e(-\alpha))$$

*Proof.* Both sides are alternating with the same highest weight term  $e(\delta) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} e(\alpha/2)$ . But  $\delta$  is the minimal strongly dominant weight.  $\square$

Let  $r = |\Phi_+|$  be the number of positive roots. Then

$$(-1)^r \omega(\delta) = \prod_{\alpha < 0} \left( e\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) - e\left(\frac{-\alpha}{2}\right) \right)$$

since each of the  $r$  terms on the RHS is the negative of the corresponding term in the equation above. Therefore,

$$(-1)^r \omega(\delta)^2 = \prod_{\alpha} \left( e\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) - e\left(\frac{-\alpha}{2}\right) \right)$$

where the product is now over all roots  $\alpha$ . Call this expression  $P$ . Since  $\prod_{\alpha} e(\alpha/2) = e(\sum \alpha/2) = e(0) = 1$  we have

$$P = \prod_{\alpha} (e(\alpha) - 1)$$

The plan is now the following. To prove the Weyl character formula, we will take the equation that we used to prove the Freudenthal formula, multiply both sides by  $P$ , then differentiate using the operator  $d$  defined below.

**24.5. The derivation  $d$ .** Let  $d$  be the linear differential operator on  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  defined by

$$d \sum a_{\mu} e(\mu) = \sum a_{\mu} e(\mu) d\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[LL] \otimes dH^*$$

where  $d\mu$  is a symbol which is linear in  $\mu \in H^*$ . More precisely,  $d\mu$  is an element of  $dH^*$  which is a free  $H^*$  module generated by the single element  $d$ . In particular, we have

$$d(\mu + \nu) = d\mu + d\nu$$

**Lemma 24.5.1.**  $d : \mathbb{Z}[LL] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[LL] \otimes dH^*$  is a derivation.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \sum a_{\mu} e(\mu)$  and  $B = \sum b_{\nu} e(\nu)$ . Then

$$AB = \sum_{\mu, \nu} a_{\mu} b_{\nu} e(\mu + \nu)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} d(AB) &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} a_{\mu} b_{\nu} e(\mu + \nu) d(\mu + \nu) \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu} a_{\mu} b_{\nu} e(\mu) e(\nu) d\mu + \sum_{\mu, \nu} a_{\mu} b_{\nu} e(\mu) e(\nu) d\nu \\ &= BdA + AdB \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Example 24.5.2.** Since  $P = \prod_{\alpha}(e(\alpha) - 1)$  and  $d(e(\alpha) - 1) = e(\alpha)d\alpha$ , we have

$$dP = \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} e(\alpha) d\alpha$$

where  $P_{\alpha} = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha}(e(\beta) - 1)$ . Since  $P = (-1)^r \omega(\delta)^2$  we also have

$$dP = (-1)^r 2\omega(\delta) d\omega(\delta)$$

**Definition 24.5.3.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \otimes dH^*$  considered as a left  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  module and let  $(-, -) : \Omega \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$  be given by

$$\left( \sum A_{\mu} d\mu, \sum B_{\nu} d\nu \right) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} A_{\mu} B_{\nu} (\mu, \nu)$$

**Lemma 24.5.4.** For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  we have

$$\Delta(AB) = B\Delta A + A\Delta B + 2(dA, dB)$$

*Proof.* Since both sides are bilinear in  $A, B$  it suffices to consider the case  $A = e(\mu), B = e(\nu)$ . Then

$$\Delta(e(\mu)e(\nu)) = \|\mu + \nu\|^2 e(\mu + \nu)$$

On the other side we have

$$(\|\mu\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2 + 2(\mu, \nu))e(\mu + \nu)$$

which are equal. □

**24.6. Proof of Weyl character formula.** Recall the formula:

$$c m_{\lambda}(\mu) = (\mu, \mu) m_{\lambda}(\mu) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mu + i\alpha, \alpha) m_{\lambda}(\mu + i\alpha)$$

where  $c = \|\lambda + \delta\|^2 - \|\mu + \delta\|^2$ . Multiply by  $e(\mu)$  and sum over all weights  $\mu$  to get

$$\underbrace{c \sum_{\mu} m_{\lambda}(\mu) e(\mu)}_{c \text{ch}_{\lambda}} = \underbrace{\sum_{\mu} \|\mu\|^2 m_{\lambda}(\mu) e(\mu)}_{\Delta \text{ch}_{\lambda}} + \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i \geq 0} (\mu + i\alpha, \alpha) m_{\lambda}(\mu + i\alpha) e(\mu)$$

The last term simplifies if we multiply by  $P = P_{\alpha}(e(\alpha) - 1)$  since

$$(e(\alpha) - 1) \sum_{\mu} \sum_{i \geq 0} (\mu + i\alpha, \alpha) m_{\lambda}(\mu + i\alpha) e(\mu) = \sum_{\mu} (\mu, \alpha) m_{\lambda}(\mu) e(\mu + \alpha)$$

Therefore,  $P$  times the triple summation is

$$\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\alpha} (\mu, \alpha) P_{\alpha} m_{\lambda}(\mu) e(\mu + \alpha) = (dP, d\text{ch}_{\lambda})$$

This gives:

$$cP \text{ch}_{\lambda} = P\Delta \text{ch}_{\lambda} + (dP, d\text{ch}_{\lambda})$$

Now expand  $P = (-1)^r \omega(\delta)^2$ .

$$(-1)^r c \omega(\delta)^2 \text{ch}_{\lambda} = (-1)^r \omega(\delta)^2 \Delta \text{ch}_{\lambda} + (-1)^r 2\omega(\delta)(d\omega(\delta), d\text{ch}_{\lambda})$$

Since  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$  is an integral domain, we can cancel  $(-1)^r \omega(\delta)$  from both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned} c\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda &= \omega(\delta)\Delta\text{ch}_\lambda + 2(d\omega(\delta), d\text{ch}_\lambda) \\ &= \Delta(\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda) - \text{ch}_\lambda\Delta(\omega(\delta)) \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \|\lambda + \delta\|^2 - \|\delta\|^2 \\ \Delta\omega(\delta) &= \|\delta\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\|\lambda + \delta\|^2 \omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda = \Delta(\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda)$$

proving that

$$\omega(\delta)\text{ch}_\lambda = \omega(\lambda + \delta)$$

as I explained earlier.

**24.7. Kostant's formula.** For any integer weight  $\nu$  let  $p(\nu)$  be the number of ways that  $\nu$  can be written as a sum of positive roots  $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ . This is also equal to the number of ways that  $-\nu$  can be written as a sum of negative roots. So,

$$\sum_{\nu} p(\nu)e(-\nu) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \frac{1}{1 - e(-\alpha)}$$

**Theorem 24.7.1.**

$$m_\lambda(\mu) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \text{sgn}(\sigma) p(\sigma(\lambda + \delta) - (\mu + \delta))$$

*Proof.* Since  $\omega(\delta) = e(\delta) \prod_{\alpha>0} (1 - e(-\alpha))$ , we have

$$\omega(\delta)^{-1} = e(-\delta) \prod_{\alpha>0} \frac{1}{1 - e(-\alpha)} = \sum_{\nu} p(\nu)e(-\nu - \delta)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_\lambda &= \omega(\delta)^{-1} \omega(\lambda + \delta) = \sum_{\nu} p(\nu)e(-\nu - \delta) \sum_{\sigma \in W} \text{sgn}(\sigma)e(\sigma(\lambda + \delta)) \\ \sum_{\mu} m_\lambda(\mu)e(\mu) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W} \sum_{\nu} \text{sgn}(\sigma) p(\nu) e(\sigma(\lambda + \delta) - (\nu + \delta)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $m_\lambda(\mu)$  is the coefficient of  $e(\mu)$  on the right. But

$$\mu = \sigma(\lambda + \delta) - (\nu + \delta)$$

is equivalent to

$$\nu = \sigma(\lambda + \delta) - (\mu + \delta)$$

So, the coefficient of  $e(\mu)$  is

$$m_\lambda(\mu) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \text{sgn}(\sigma) p(\sigma(\lambda + \delta) - (\mu + \delta))$$

□