

Homeworks 4 and 5 are both about induction. (HW4 is simple induction. HW5 is more complicated forms of induction.)

### Simple induction

Here is an outline of how simple induction proofs are written.

- 1 Write down the statement  $P(n)$  and say that you will prove it “by induction on  $n$ .”
- 2 Do the base case. This is usually  $n = 1$  but it could be  $n = 0$  or some other number. “First we will verify the base case when  $n = 1$ .”
- 3 The induction step is logically:  $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$ . You need to say that you are assuming  $P(n)$ . For example: “We will assume by induction that the statement holds for  $n$  and we will show that it holds for  $n + 1$ .”
- 3’ The book changes the letter to  $k$ . This makes the logic a little clearer: “We will assume that the statement  $P(n)$  holds for  $n = k$ . Then we will show that it holds for  $n = k + 1$ .” I suggest you do that if it is not clear exactly what happens when you replace  $n$  with  $n + 1$ . (Substituting  $n = k + 1$  is clearer. Then you know, e.g., that  $k = n - 1$ .)

### Example 1

Prove that a finite set has  $2^n$  elements where  $n$  is the number of elements of the set.

*Answer 1.* (standard method)

- 1) Suppose that  $S$  is a set containing  $n$  elements. Then we will show by induction on  $n$  that  $S$  has  $2^n$  elements.
- 2) The base case is  $n = 0$ . In this case  $S$  is empty. The empty set has exactly one subset, namely itself. Here is a proof. Suppose that  $T$  is a subset of the empty set  $S$ . Then any element of  $T$  is an element of  $S$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $T$  has no elements. Therefore,  $T$  is the empty set.
- 3) Suppose by induction that any set with  $n$  elements has  $2^n$  elements. Then we will show that any set with  $n + 1$  elements has  $2^{n+1}$  elements.
- 4) Let  $T$  be a set with  $n + 1$  elements. Call them  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$ . Let  $S$  be the subset  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then, we know by induction that  $S$  has  $k = 2^n$  subsets. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be the subsets of  $S$ .
- 5) The subsets of  $T$  are  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  where  $B_i = A_i \cup \{x_{n+1}\}$ . To prove this, let  $C$  be any subset of  $T$ . Then either  $C$  contains  $x_{n+1}$  or it doesn't. If  $C$  does not contain  $x_{n+1}$  then  $C$  is contained in  $S$ . So,  $C = A_i$  for some  $i$ . If  $C$  contains  $x_{n+1}$  then, when we delete  $x_{n+1}$  from  $C$  we get one of the sets  $A_i$ . So,  $C = A_i \cup \{x_{n+1}\} = B_i$  in that case.
- 6) Since we have enumerated all of the subsets of  $T$  we see that it has  $2 \times 2^n = 2^{n+1}$  subsets. Since  $T$  was an arbitrary set with  $n + 1$  elements, this completes the induction and proves the statement for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Answer 2.* (skipping obvious steps and adding unclear steps) We will show by induction on  $n$  that a set  $S$  with  $n$  elements has  $2^n$  subsets. In the first case  $S$  is empty  $S = \emptyset$  and it has only one subset, namely  $\emptyset$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $S = \{x\}$  has two subsets:  $\emptyset$  and  $S$  since any subset  $C \subseteq S$  either contains  $x$  or it doesn't. If  $C$  contains  $x$  then  $C = S$  and if  $C$  doesn't contain  $x$  then  $C = \emptyset$ . Since  $2^0 = 1$  and  $2^1 = 2$ , the statement holds for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ .

Now suppose by induction that any set with  $n \geq 1$  elements has  $2^n$  subsets. Let  $T$  be a set with  $n + 1$  elements. Take any element  $x \in T$ . Then  $S = T - \{x\}$  has  $n$  elements. So,  $S$  has  $2^n$  subsets. Each subset  $A$  of  $S$  gives two subsets of  $T$ , namely  $A$  and  $A \cup \{x\}$ . To see that these are all of the subsets of  $T$ , take any subset  $C \subseteq T$ . If  $x \notin C$  then  $C$  is one of the subsets of  $S$ . If  $x \in C$  then  $C = A \cup \{x\}$  where  $A \subseteq S$ . Therefore,  $T$  has exactly  $2 \times 2^n = 2^{n+1}$  subsets and the statement holds for  $n + 1$ . Therefore, the statement hold for all finite  $n \geq 0$ .

**Example 2**

Show by induction on  $n$  that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i(i-1)(i-2) = \frac{(n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)}{4} \quad (*)$$

*Answer* We will prove this by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is trivial since both sides are zero. So, suppose the equation holds for  $n$ . Then for  $n + 1$  we add one more term  $(n + 1)n(n - 1)$  to the sum on the left and the same thing on the right to get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i(i-1)(i-2) = \frac{(n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)}{4} + (n+1)n(n-1)$$

This simplifies to:

$$(n+1)n(n-1) \left[ \frac{n-2}{4} + \frac{4}{4} \right] = (n+1)n(n-1) \left[ \frac{n+2}{4} \right]$$

which is the right hand side of (\*) for  $n + 1$ . So, (\*) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Comments* This proof does not have the “We want to show the following” statement. Since the proof begins with the statement “We will prove this by induction on  $n$ ” and explicitly assumes the statement for  $n$  it is understood that we need to prove  $P(n + 1)$ .

**Strong induction**

In strong induction you prove statement  $P(n)$  assuming the statement holds for all numbers less than  $n$ . This is actually a proof by contradiction where  $n$  is the first case where the theorem fails.

A proof by strong induction can be phrased in many ways. Here are two basic wordings.

- 1 You can say “We may assume by induction that the statement holds for all numbers less than  $n$ .” This assumes the reader is familiar with strong induction.
- 2 A wording which is closer to the truth is “Suppose the theorem is not true and let  $n$  be the smallest counterexample.” This makes sense even if the reader has never heard of strong induction.

Note that there is *no base case* since  $n = 1$  is included as a possible smallest counterexample. When you prove the statement for  $n$ , you actually get a contradiction since  $n$  is the first case where the statement fails.

**Example 3**

Show by induction that every integer  $n \geq 2$  is either prime or a product of prime numbers.

*Answer* Let  $n$  be the smallest integer  $\geq 2$  which is neither prime nor a product of prime numbers. Since  $n$  is not prime,  $n = ab$  where  $a, b \geq 2$ . Then  $2 \leq a, b < n$ . Therefore, by induction,  $a, b$  are products of one or more primes. Therefore their product  $n = ab$  is a product of one or more primes. So, the theorem holds.

**Example 4**

Show that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

*Answer* Suppose this is false. Then there exist positive integers  $n, m$  so that  $\sqrt{2} = n/m$ , i.e.  $2 = (n/m)^2$ . Let the denominator  $m$  be the smallest positive integer so that  $n^2/m^2 = 2$  for some other positive integer  $n$  (the numerator). Then  $n^2 = 2m^2$ . So,  $n$  must be even. I.e.,  $n = 2k$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Then

$$n^2 = 4k^2 = 2m^2$$

which implies that  $m^2 = 2k^2$  or  $\sqrt{2} = m/k$ . But this fraction has a denominator  $k < m$  which contradicts the minimality of  $m$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{2}$  is not a rational number.