

9. ORBITS, CYCLES AND  $A_n$ 

First, I will do the example to explain the concepts. Then we will go over the rigorous definitions. A permutation of  $n$  letters  $\sigma \in S_n$  has “orbits” and “cycles”. These are essentially the same thing except that orbits are sets and therefore *unordered* whereas cycles are “cyclically ordered”. Here is an example similar to the one in the book.  $\sigma \in S_8$  is given by

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

This permutation has two cycles:

- (1)  $1 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$ . This is a 4-cycle and is written: (1836).
- (2)  $2 \rightarrow 2$ . This is a 1-cycle written: (2) and 1-cycles don't count!!
- (3)  $4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4$ . This is the 3-cycle (475).

9.1. **orbits.** The orbits of  $\sigma$  are the three sets:

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{1, 3, 6, 8\}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{2\}$ . This is a *singleton*.
- (3)  $\mathcal{O}_3 = \{4, 5, 7\}$

The orbits are disjoint sets whose union is the set being permuted, namely the set  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ :

$$A = \mathcal{O}_1 \amalg \mathcal{O}_2 \amalg \mathcal{O}_3$$

This is a *partition* of the set  $A$ . The parts are called *cells* of the partition and they are given by an equivalence relation “lying in the same orbit”

**Definition 9.1.** If  $\sigma$  is a permutation of the set  $A$  and  $a, b \in A$  are two elements, we say that  $a, b$  are in the same orbit if there exists an integer  $n$  so that  $\sigma^n(a) = b$ . This is an equivalence relation and the equivalence classes are called the **orbits** of  $\sigma$ . The orbit of any  $a \in A$  is therefore the set:

$$\{\sigma^n(a) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

In the example,  $\sigma(1) = 8, \sigma^2(1) = 3, \sigma^3(1) = 6, \sigma^4(1) = \sigma^0(1) = 1$ . So the orbit of 1 is the set  $\{1, 8, 3, 6\} = \{1, 3, 6, 8\}$ . This is also the orbit of 3.

Problem: Show that the orbits of a permutation  $\sigma$  are either disjoint or equal.

9.2. **cycles.** Going back to the example, the first orbit  $\{1, 3, 6, 8\}$  comes in the order 1836. We interpret this as a separate permutation where the other “letters” 2,4,5,7 are fixed:

$$(1836) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 2 & 6 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 7 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Definition 9.2.** A *cycle* is defined to be a permutation having at most one orbit which is not a singleton. The length of a cycle is the number of elements in the largest orbit.

The *support* of any permutation  $\sigma$  is defined to be the set of all letters  $x$  so that  $\sigma(x) \neq x$ . This is the set of letters *moved* by  $\sigma$ . The length of a cycle is the size of its support except if it is a 1-cycle.

Problem: How many 1-cycles does  $S_n$  have? How many 2-cycles does  $S_n$  have? How many 3-cycles does it have?

**Definition 9.3.** 2-cycles are called **transpositions**.

Problem: Show that disjoint cycles commute. (Why is it obvious that they commute?)

Here is an example that shows that cycles can commute even if they are not disjoint:

$$\sigma = (12345), \quad \tau = (13524)$$

What does it mean that these commute? Why do you think they commute?

**Theorem 9.4.** Every permutation of  $n$  letters can be written as a product<sup>2</sup> of disjoint cycles of length  $\geq 2$ . These disjoint cycles are uniquely determined. But the product can be written in any order.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sigma \in S_n$ . For each orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\sigma$  which is not a singleton, the permutation  $\sigma$  permutes the elements of  $\mathcal{O}$  in one cycle  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}$ :

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O} \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Do this for every orbit of  $\sigma$  which is not a singleton. Then  $\sigma$  is a product of the cycles  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}_1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{O}_2}, \dots, \sigma_{\mathcal{O}_k}$  since, for any letter  $x$  lies in exactly on orbit, say  $\mathcal{O}_i$  and  $y = \sigma(x)$  also lies in  $\mathcal{O}_i$ . Then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}_j}(x) = x$  and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}_i}(x) = y$ . So,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}_1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{O}_2}, \dots, \sigma_{\mathcal{O}_k}$  sends  $x$  to  $y$ .

To show uniqueness, suppose that  $\sigma$  is written as a product of disjoint cycles. Then the supports of these cycles must be the orbits of  $\sigma$  which are not singletons. Then the cycles must be  $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}$  as above.  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>The product of no elements of a group is defined to be the identity  $e$ .