

# An Introduction to Symmetric Functions

Ira M. Gessel

Department of Mathematics  
Brandeis University

Brandeis Combinatorics Seminar

November 1, 2016

## What are symmetric functions?

Symmetric functions are not functions.

# What are symmetric functions?

Symmetric functions are not functions.

They are formal power series in the infinitely many variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that are invariant under permutation of the subscripts.

# What are symmetric functions?

Symmetric functions are not functions.

They are formal power series in the infinitely many variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that are invariant under permutation of the subscripts.

In other words, if  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  are distinct positive integers and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers then the coefficient of  $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_m}^{\alpha_m}$  in a symmetric function is the same as the coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$ .

# What are symmetric functions?

Symmetric functions are not functions.

They are formal power series in the infinitely many variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that are invariant under permutation of the subscripts.

In other words, if  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  are distinct positive integers and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers then the coefficient of  $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_m}^{\alpha_m}$  in a symmetric function is the same as the coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$ .

**Examples:**

- ▶  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots$
- ▶  $\sum_{i \leq j} x_i x_j$

# What are symmetric functions?

Symmetric functions are not functions.

They are formal power series in the infinitely many variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that are invariant under permutation of the subscripts.

In other words, if  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  are distinct positive integers and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers then the coefficient of  $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_m}^{\alpha_m}$  in a symmetric function is the same as the coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$ .

**Examples:**

- ▶  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots$
- ▶  $\sum_{i \leq j} x_i x_j$

But **not**  $\sum_{i \leq j} x_i x_j^2$

## What are symmetric functions good for?

- ▶ Some combinatorial problems have symmetric function generating functions. For example,  $\prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i x_j)$  counts graphs by the degrees of the vertices.

## What are symmetric functions good for?

- ▶ Some combinatorial problems have symmetric function generating functions. For example,  $\prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i x_j)$  counts graphs by the degrees of the vertices.
- ▶ Symmetric functions are useful in counting plane partitions.



## What are symmetric functions good for?

- ▶ Some combinatorial problems have symmetric function generating functions. For example,  $\prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i x_j)$  counts graphs by the degrees of the vertices.
- ▶ Symmetric functions are useful in counting plane partitions.
- ▶ Symmetric functions are closely related to representations of symmetric and general linear groups

## What are symmetric functions good for?

- ▶ Some combinatorial problems have symmetric function generating functions. For example,  $\prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i x_j)$  counts graphs by the degrees of the vertices.
- ▶ Symmetric functions are useful in counting plane partitions.
- ▶ Symmetric functions are closely related to representations of symmetric and general linear groups
- ▶ Symmetric functions are useful in counting unlabeled graphs (Pólya theory).

# The ring of symmetric functions

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions, and let  $\Lambda^n$  be the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree  $n$ .

## The ring of symmetric functions

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions, and let  $\Lambda^n$  be the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then the dimension of  $\Lambda^n$  is  $p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$ .

# The ring of symmetric functions

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions, and let  $\Lambda^n$  be the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then the dimension of  $\Lambda^n$  is  $p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$ .

A **partition** of  $n$  is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  with sum  $n$ .

# The ring of symmetric functions

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions, and let  $\Lambda^n$  be the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then the dimension of  $\Lambda^n$  is  $p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$ .

A **partition** of  $n$  is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  with sum  $n$ .

For example, the partitions of 4 are  $(4)$ ,  $(3, 1)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 1, 1)$ , and  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

# The ring of symmetric functions

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the ring of symmetric functions, and let  $\Lambda^n$  be the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then the dimension of  $\Lambda^n$  is  $p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$ .

A **partition** of  $n$  is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  with sum  $n$ .

For example, the partitions of 4 are  $(4)$ ,  $(3, 1)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 1, 1)$ , and  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

There are several important bases for  $\Lambda^n$ , all indexed by partitions.

## Monomial symmetric functions

If a symmetric function has a term  $x_1^2 x_2 x_3$  with coefficient 1, then it must contain all terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$ , with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct, with coefficient 1. If we add up all of these terms, we get the **monomial symmetric function**

$$m_{(2,1,1)} = \sum x_i^2 x_j x_k$$

where the sum is over all distinct terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$  with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct.



## Monomial symmetric functions

If a symmetric function has a term  $x_1^2 x_2 x_3$  with coefficient 1, then it must contain all terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$ , with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct, with coefficient 1. If we add up all of these terms, we get the **monomial symmetric function**

$$m_{(2,1,1)} = \sum x_i^2 x_j x_k$$

where the sum is over all distinct terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$  with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct. So

$$m_{(2,1,1)} = x_1^2 x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 x_4 + x_1^2 x_3 x_5 + \cdots .$$

## Monomial symmetric functions

If a symmetric function has a term  $x_1^2 x_2 x_3$  with coefficient 1, then it must contain all terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$ , with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct, with coefficient 1. If we add up all of these terms, we get the **monomial symmetric function**

$$m_{(2,1,1)} = \sum x_i^2 x_j x_k$$

where the sum is over all distinct terms of the form  $x_i^2 x_j x_k$  with  $i, j$ , and  $k$  distinct. So

$$m_{(2,1,1)} = x_1^2 x_2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 x_4 + x_1^2 x_3 x_5 + \dots$$

We could write it more formally as

$$\sum_{i \neq j, i \neq k, j < k} x_i^2 x_j x_k.$$

More generally, for any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ ,  $m_\lambda$  is the sum of all distinct monomials of the form

$$x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\lambda_k}.$$

It's easy to see that  $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda^n$ .

# Multiplicative bases

There are three important **multiplicative bases** for  $\Lambda^n$ .

Suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $u_n$  is a symmetric function homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then for any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , we may define  $u_\lambda$  to be  $u_{\lambda_1} \cdots u_{\lambda_k}$ .

# Multiplicative bases

There are three important **multiplicative bases** for  $\Lambda^n$ .

Suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $u_n$  is a symmetric function homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then for any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , we may define  $u_\lambda$  to be  $u_{\lambda_1} \cdots u_{\lambda_k}$ .

If  $u_1, u_2, \dots$  are algebraically independent, then  $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  will be a basis for  $\Lambda^n$ .

We define the  $n$ th elementary symmetric function  $e_n$  by

$$e_n = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

so  $e_n = m_{(1^n)}$ .

We define the  $n$ th elementary symmetric function  $e_n$  by

$$e_n = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

so  $e_n = m_{(1^n)}$ .

The  $n$ th complete symmetric function is

$$h_n = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

so  $h_n$  is the sum of all distinct monomials of degree  $n$ .

We define the  $n$ th elementary symmetric function  $e_n$  by

$$e_n = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

so  $e_n = m_{(1^n)}$ .

The  $n$ th complete symmetric function is

$$h_n = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n},$$

so  $h_n$  is the sum of all distinct monomials of degree  $n$ .

The  $n$ th power sum symmetric function is

$$p_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n,$$

so  $p_n = m_{(n)}$ .



**Theorem.** Each of  $\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ ,  $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ , and  $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda^n$ .

## Some generating functions

We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n t^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i t + x_i^2 t^2 + \dots) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $t$  is extraneous, since if we set  $t = 1$  we can get it back by replacing each  $x_j$  with  $x_j t$ .)

## Some generating functions

We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n t^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i t + x_i^2 t^2 + \dots) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $t$  is extraneous, since if we set  $t = 1$  we can get it back by replacing each  $x_j$  with  $x_j t$ .)

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e_n t^n \right)^{-1}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\log \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x_it} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1-x_it} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_i^n \frac{t^n}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n} t^n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n} t^n\right).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\log \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x_it} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1-x_it} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_i^n \frac{t^n}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n} t^n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^n = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{n} t^n\right).$$

If we expand the right side and equate coefficients of  $t^n$

We get

$$h_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

Here if  $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$  then  $z_\lambda = 1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \dots$ .

We get

$$h_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

Here if  $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$  then  $z_\lambda = 1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \dots$ .

It is not hard to show that if  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  then  $n!/z_\lambda$  is the number of permutations in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of cycle type  $\lambda$  and that  $z_\lambda$  is the number of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that commute with a given permutation of cycle type  $\lambda$ .

We get

$$h_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

Here if  $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$  then  $z_\lambda = 1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \dots$ .

It is not hard to show that if  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  then  $n!/z_\lambda$  is the number of permutations in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of cycle type  $\lambda$  and that  $z_\lambda$  is the number of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  that commute with a given permutation of cycle type  $\lambda$ .

For example, for  $n = 3$  we have  $z_{(3)} = 3$ ,  $z_{(2,1)} = 2$ , and  $z_{(1,1,1)} = 6$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} h_3 &= \frac{p_{(1,1,1)}}{6} + \frac{p_{(2,1)}}{2} + \frac{p_{(3)}}{3} \\ &= \frac{p_1^3}{6} + \frac{p_2 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_3}{3}. \end{aligned}$$



# The Cauchy kernel

The infinite product

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

is sometimes called the **Cauchy kernel**. It is symmetric in both  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots$ .

# The Cauchy kernel

The infinite product

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

is sometimes called the **Cauchy kernel**. It is symmetric in both  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots$ .

In working with symmetric functions in two sets of variables, we'll use the notation  $f[x]$  to mean  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $f[y]$  to mean  $f(y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

# The Cauchy kernel

The infinite product

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

is sometimes called the **Cauchy kernel**. It is symmetric in both  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots$ .

In working with symmetric functions in two sets of variables, we'll use the notation  $f[x]$  to mean  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $f[y]$  to mean  $f(y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

First we note that the coefficient  $N_{\lambda, \mu}$  of  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots y_1^{\mu_1} y_2^{\mu_2} \dots$  in this product is the same as the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \dots y_1^{\lambda_1} y_2^{\lambda_2} \dots$ .

Now let's expand the product:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_i^k h_k[y]$$

Now let's expand the product:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_i^k h_k[y] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}[x] h_{\lambda}[y] \end{aligned}$$

Now let's expand the product:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_i^k h_k[y] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}[x] h_{\lambda}[y] \end{aligned}$$

Now  $N_{\lambda, \mu}$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots y_1^{\mu_1} y_2^{\mu_2} \cdots$  in this product, which is the same as the coefficient of  $y_1^{\mu_1} y_2^{\mu_2} \cdots$  in  $h_{\lambda}[y]$ .

# MacMahon's law of symmetry

Since  $N_{\lambda,\mu} = N_{\mu,\lambda}$ , we have **MacMahon's law of symmetry**: The coefficient of  $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots$  in  $h_\mu$  is equal to the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \cdots$  in  $h_\lambda$ .

# The scalar product

Now we define a scalar product on  $\Lambda$  by

$$\langle h_\lambda, f \rangle = \text{coefficient of } x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots \text{ in } f$$

extended by linearity. By MacMahon's law of symmetry,

$\langle h_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle = \langle h_\mu, h_\lambda \rangle$ , so by linearity  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle$  for all  $f, g \in \Lambda$ .

Also

$$\langle h_\lambda, m_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$$

and

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.$$



## The characteristic map

Let  $\rho$  be a representation of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ; i.e., an “action” of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

## The characteristic map

Let  $\rho$  be a representation of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ; i.e., an “action” of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

More formally,  $\rho$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  to the group of automorphisms of  $V$ ,  $GL(V)$  (which we can think of as a group of matrices).

# The characteristic map

Let  $\rho$  be a representation of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ; i.e., an “action” of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

More formally,  $\rho$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  to the group of automorphisms of  $V$ ,  $\text{GL}(V)$  (which we can think of as a group of matrices).

From  $\rho$  we can construct a function  $\chi^\rho : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , called the **character** of  $\rho$ , defined by

$$\chi^\rho(g) = \text{trace } \rho(g).$$

Then the character of  $\rho$  determines  $\rho$  up to equivalence.

We define the **characteristic** of  $\rho$  to be the symmetric function

$$\text{ch } \rho = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\rho(g) p_{\text{cyc}(g)},$$

where  $\text{cyc}(g)$  is the cycle type of  $g$ .

We define the **characteristic** of  $\rho$  to be the symmetric function

$$\text{ch } \rho = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\rho(g) p_{\text{cyc}(g)},$$

where  $\text{cyc}(g)$  is the cycle type of  $g$ .

Since  $\chi^\rho(g)$  depends only on the cycle type of  $g$ , if we define  $\chi^\rho(\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda$  a partition of  $n$ , by  $\chi^\rho(\lambda) = \chi^\rho(g)$  for  $g$  with  $\text{cyc}(g) = \lambda$ , then we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } \rho &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{n!}{z_\lambda} \chi^\rho(\lambda) p_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\rho(\lambda) \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\text{ch } \rho$  contains the same information as  $\chi^\rho$ .

Then  $\text{ch } \rho$  contains the same information as  $\chi^\rho$ .

Two very simple examples:

(1) **The trivial representation.** Here  $V$  is a one-dimensional vector space and for every  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is the identity transformation. Then  $\chi^\rho(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  so

$$\text{ch } \rho = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

Then  $\text{ch } \rho$  contains the same information as  $\chi^\rho$ .

Two very simple examples:

(1) **The trivial representation.** Here  $V$  is a one-dimensional vector space and for every  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is the identity transformation. Then  $\chi^\rho(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  so

$$\text{ch } \rho = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda} = h_n$$



Then  $\text{ch } \rho$  contains the same information as  $\chi^\rho$ .

Two very simple examples:

(1) **The trivial representation.** Here  $V$  is a one-dimensional vector space and for every  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is the identity transformation. Then  $\chi^\rho(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  so

$$\text{ch } \rho = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda} = h_n$$

(2) **The regular representation.** Here  $V$  is the vector space spanned by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts by left multiplication. Then  $\chi^\rho(g) = n!$  if  $g$  is the identity element of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and  $\chi^\rho(g) = 0$  otherwise. So

$$\text{ch } \rho = p_1^n.$$

# Group actions

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $S$  be a finite set. An **action** of  $G$  on  $S$  is map  $\phi : G \times S \rightarrow S$ ,  $(g, s) \mapsto g \cdot s$  satisfying

- ▶  $gh \cdot s = g \cdot (h \cdot s)$  for  $g, h \in G$  and  $s \in S$
- ▶  $e \cdot s = s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

# Group actions

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $S$  be a finite set. An **action** of  $G$  on  $S$  is map  $\phi : G \times S \rightarrow S$ ,  $(g, s) \mapsto g \cdot s$  satisfying

- ▶  $gh \cdot s = g \cdot (h \cdot s)$  for  $g, h \in G$  and  $s \in S$
- ▶  $e \cdot s = s$  for all  $s \in S$ .

Given an action of  $G$  on  $S$ , we get a representation of  $G$  on the vector space spanned by  $S$ :

$$\rho(g) \left( \sum_{s \in S} c_s s \right) = \sum_{s \in S} c_s g \cdot s$$

Then the trace of  $\rho(g)$  is the number of elements of  $S$  for which  $g \cdot s = s$ , which we denote by  $\text{fix}(g)$ .

An important fact is **Burnside's Lemma** (also called the orbit-counting theorem): The number of orbits of  $G$  acting  $S$  is

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{fix}(g).$$

Now we take  $G$  to be the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

The characteristic of the corresponding representation is

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{fix}(g) p_{\text{cyc}(g)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \text{fix}(\lambda) \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

It is called the **cycle index** of the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , denoted  $Z_\phi$ .

The characteristic of the corresponding representation is

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{fix}(g) p_{\text{cyc}(g)} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \text{fix}(\lambda) \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

It is called the **cycle index** of the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , denoted  $Z_\phi$ .

If we set all the  $p_i$  to 1 (or equivalently, set  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ ) then by Burnside's lemma we get the number of orbits. This is also equal to the scalar product  $\langle Z_\phi, h_n \rangle$ .

There is a combinatorial interpretation to the coefficients of  $Z_\phi$ :

The coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$  in  $Z_\phi$  is the number of orbits of the **Young subgroup**  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha = \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_m}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_1}$  permutes  $1, 2, \dots, \alpha_1$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_2}$  permutes  $\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ , and so on.

This coefficient is equal to the scalar product  $\langle Z_\phi, h_\alpha \rangle$ .

There is a combinatorial interpretation to the coefficients of  $Z_\phi$ :

The coefficient of  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$  in  $Z_\phi$  is the number of orbits of the **Young subgroup**  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha = \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_m}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_1}$  permutes  $1, 2, \dots, \alpha_1$ ;  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha_2}$  permutes  $\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ , and so on.

This coefficient is equal to the scalar product  $\langle Z_\phi, h_\alpha \rangle$ .

This result is a form of **Pólya's theorem**. If  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is acting on a set of “graphs” with vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  then we can construct the orbits of  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$  by coloring vertices  $1, 2, \dots, \alpha_1$  in color 1; vertices  $\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  in color 2, and so on, and then “erasing” the labels, leaving only the colors.



# Schur functions

Another important basis for symmetric functions is the **Schur function** basis  $\{s_\lambda\}$ . The Schur functions are the characteristics of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and they are orthonormal with respect to the the scalar product:

$$\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

# Schur functions

Another important basis for symmetric functions is the **Schur function** basis  $\{s_\lambda\}$ . The Schur functions are the characteristics of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and they are orthonormal with respect to the the scalar product:

$$\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

They are, up to sign, the unique orthonormal basis that can be expressed as integer linear combinations of the  $m_\lambda$ .

# Plethysm

There are several useful operations on symmetric functions. One of them is called **plethysm** (also called **substitution** or **composition**).

# Plethysm

There are several useful operations on symmetric functions. One of them is called **plethysm** (also called **substitution** or **composition**).

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be symmetric functions. The plethysm of  $f$  and  $g$  is denoted  $f[g]$  or  $f \circ g$ .

# Plethysm

There are several useful operations on symmetric functions. One of them is called **plethysm** (also called **substitution** or **composition**).

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be symmetric functions. The plethysm of  $f$  and  $g$  is denoted  $f[g]$  or  $f \circ g$ .

First suppose that  $g$  can be expressed as a sum of **monic terms**, that is, monomials  $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots$  with coefficient 1. For example,  $m_\lambda$  is a sum of monic terms.

# Plethysm

There are several useful operations on symmetric functions. One of them is called **plethysm** (also called **substitution** or **composition**).

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be symmetric functions. The plethysm of  $f$  and  $g$  is denoted  $f[g]$  or  $f \circ g$ .

First suppose that  $g$  can be expressed as a sum of **monic terms**, that is, monomials  $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots$  with coefficient 1. For example,  $m_\lambda$  is a sum of monic terms.

If we have a sum of monomials with positive integer coefficients then we can also write it as a sum of monic terms:

$$2p_2 = x_1^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_2^2 + \dots$$

In this case, if  $g = t_1 + t_2 + \dots$ , where the  $t_i$  are monic terms, then

$$f[g] = f(t_1, t_2, \dots).$$

For example

$$f[e_2] = f(x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, \dots)$$

$$f[2p_2] = f(x_1^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_2^2, \dots)$$

In this case, if  $g = t_1 + t_2 + \dots$ , where the  $t_i$  are monic terms, then

$$f[g] = f(t_1, t_2, \dots).$$

For example

$$f[e_2] = f(x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, \dots)$$

$$f[2p_2] = f(x_1^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_2^2, \dots)$$

More specifically,

$$e_2[p_3] = \sum_{i < j} x_i^3 x_j^3$$

$$p_3[e_2] = \sum_{i < j} x_i^3 x_j^3$$



In this case, if  $g = t_1 + t_2 + \dots$ , where the  $t_i$  are monic terms, then

$$f[g] = f(t_1, t_2, \dots).$$

For example

$$f[e_2] = f(x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, \dots)$$

$$f[2p_2] = f(x_1^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_2^2, \dots)$$

More specifically,

$$e_2[p_3] = \sum_{i < j} x_i^3 x_j^3$$

$$p_3[e_2] = \sum_{i < j} x_i^3 x_j^3 = e_2[p_3]$$

We would like to generalize plethysm to the case in which  $g$  is an arbitrary symmetric function.

We would like to generalize plethysm to the case in which  $g$  is an arbitrary symmetric function.

To do this we make several observations:

- ▶ For fixed  $g$ , the map  $f \mapsto f[g]$  is an endomorphism of  $\Lambda$ .

We would like to generalize plethysm to the case in which  $g$  is an arbitrary symmetric function.

To do this we make several observations:

- ▶ For fixed  $g$ , the map  $f \mapsto f[g]$  is an endomorphism of  $\Lambda$ .
- ▶ For any  $g$ ,  $\rho_n[g] = g[\rho_n]$

We would like to generalize plethysm to the case in which  $g$  is an arbitrary symmetric function.

To do this we make several observations:

- ▶ For fixed  $g$ , the map  $f \mapsto f[g]$  is an endomorphism of  $\Lambda$ .
- ▶ For any  $g$ ,  $\rho_n[g] = g[\rho_n]$
- ▶  $\rho_m[\rho_n] = \rho_{mn}$
- ▶ If  $c$  is a constant then  $c[\rho_n] = c$ .

These formulas allow us to define  $f[g]$  for any symmetric functions  $f$  and  $g$ .

## Examples of plethysm

First note that if  $c$  is a constant then

$$\rho_m[c\rho_n] = (c\rho_n)[\rho_m] = c[\rho_m]\rho_n[\rho_m] = c\rho_{mn}.$$

Then since  $h_2 = (\rho_1^2 + \rho_2)/2$ , we have

$$h_2[-\rho_1] = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1[-\rho_1]^2 + \rho_2[-\rho_1]) = \frac{1}{2}((-\rho_1)^2 - \rho_2) = e_2.$$

More generally, we can show that  $h_n[-\rho_1] = (-1)^n e_n$ .

Also

$$\begin{aligned} h_2[1 + \rho_1] &= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1[1 + \rho_1]^2 + \rho_2[1 + \rho_1]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((1 + \rho_1)^2 + (1 + \rho_2)) = 1 + \rho_1 + h_2 \end{aligned}$$

Another example: Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n,$$

we have

$$\prod_{i < j} (1 + x_i x_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n[e_2].$$

## Coefficient extraction

As we saw, the coefficient of  $x_1^n$  in a symmetric function  $f$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f(x, 0, 0, 0)$ , and if  $f$  is expressed in terms of the  $p_i$  we get this by setting  $p_i = x^i$  for all  $i$ .



## Coefficient extraction

As we saw, the coefficient of  $x_1^n$  in a symmetric function  $f$  is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f(x, 0, 0, 0)$ , and if  $f$  is expressed in terms of the  $p_i$  we get this by setting  $p_i = x^i$  for all  $i$ .

We can also get a simple generating function for the coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  in a symmetric function  $f$ .

Let  $E(f)$  be obtained from the symmetric function  $f$  (expressed in the  $p_i$ ) by setting  $p_1 = x$  and  $p_i = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ . Then

$$E(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where  $a_n$  is the coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  in  $f$ .

Let  $E(f)$  be obtained from the symmetric function  $f$  (expressed in the  $p_i$ ) by setting  $p_1 = x$  and  $p_i = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ . Then

$$E(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where  $a_n$  is the coefficient of  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  in  $f$ .

Moreover,  $E$  is a homomorphism that respects composition:

$$E(fg) = E(f)E(g)$$

and

$$E(f \circ g) = E(f) \circ E(g)$$

as long as  $g$  has no constant term.